



Coordinated control of senescence by lncRNA and a novel T-box3 co-repressor complex

Pavan Kumar P^{1,2}, Uchenna Emechebe^{3†}, Richard Smith^{4†}, Sarah Franklin^{5,6}, Barry Moore⁷, Mark Yandell⁷, Stephen L Lessnick^{2,4,8}, Anne M Moon^{1,2,7*}

¹Weis Center for Research, Geisinger Clinic, Danville, United States; ²Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States; ³Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States; ⁴The Centre for Children's Cancer Research, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States; ⁵Nora Eccles Harrison Cardiovascular Research and Training Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States; ⁷Department of Human Genetics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States; ⁸Department of Oncological Sciences, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, United States

Abstract Cellular senescence is a crucial tumor suppressor mechanism. We discovered a CAPER α /TBX3 repressor complex required to prevent senescence in primary cells and mouse embryos. Critical, previously unknown roles for CAPER α in controlling cell proliferation are manifest in an obligatory interaction with TBX3 to regulate chromatin structure and repress transcription of *CDKN2A-p16^{INK}* and the RB pathway. The lncRNA *UCA1* is a direct target of CAPER α /TBX3 repression whose overexpression is sufficient to induce senescence. In proliferating cells, we found that hnRNPA1 binds and destabilizes *CDKN2A-p16^{INK}* mRNA whereas during senescence, *UCA1* sequesters hnRNPA1 and thus stabilizes *CDKN2A-p16^{INK}*. Thus CAPER α /TBX3 and *UCA1* constitute a coordinated, reinforcing mechanism to regulate both *CDKN2A-p16^{INK}* transcription and mRNA stability. Dissociation of the CAPER α /TBX3 co-repressor during oncogenic stress activates *UCA1*, revealing a novel mechanism for oncogene-induced senescence. Our elucidation of CAPER α and *UCA1* functions in vivo provides new insights into senescence induction, and the oncogenic and developmental properties of TBX3.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.001](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.001)

*For correspondence:
ammoon@geisinger.edu

†These authors contributed equally to this work

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 25

Received: 16 March 2014

Accepted: 22 May 2014

Published: 29 May 2014

Reviewing editor: Michael R Green, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Massachusetts Medical School, United States

© Copyright Kumar P et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Senescence is defined as irreversible arrest of cell growth and loss of replicative capacity (*Hayflick, 1965*). Senescent cells have a large, flattened morphology and a characteristic secretory phenotype. They may be multinucleate, exhibit nuclear distortion, and contain senescence-associated heterochromatin foci (SAHF) (*Kosar et al., 2011*). Senescence can be induced by various stimuli such as DNA damage, metabolic or oxidative stress, or expression of oncoproteins (*Larsson, 2005; Kulman et al., 2010; Coppé et al., 2011*).

The p16/retinoblastoma protein (RB) and p53 tumor suppressor pathways are key regulators of senescence induction and maintenance in many cell types (*Narita et al., 2003*). p14^{ARF}-p53 activates p21, whereas the p16^{INK4a}-RB pathway culminates in E2F transcriptional target repression and senescence (*DeGregori, 2004*). Expression of *CDKN2A-p14^{ARF}* and *CDKN1A-p21^{CIP}* is repressed by the related transcription factors TBX2 and TBX3; this is the postulated mechanism for senescence bypass

eLife digest Cell division and growth are essential for survival. But it is equally important that cells can stop dividing, because failing to do so can lead to the uncontrolled tumor growth seen in cancer. One such quality control mechanism is called senescence, which stops the growth and multiplication of cells that are old, damaged or behaving in ways that may harm the organism. All cells eventually stop dividing and undergo senescence, but a number of factors may trigger the process early, such as DNA damage, stress or the appearance of cancer-causing proteins.

Senescence can be harmful if it occurs too early in life and interferes with normal growth. Severe birth defects—including fatal heart problems and limb malformations—occur if senescence is inappropriately triggered early in development. Mutations in a gene encoding a protein called TBX3 have been linked to these severe birth defects.

Normally, TBX3 stops the production of other proteins that trigger senescence in early development, and helps to maintain stable conditions in adult cells. Understanding how it does so could help scientists understand normal cell function and aging, and also help to find ways to trigger senescence in cancerous cells.

Kumar et al. found that a protein called CAPER α —for short Coactivator of AP1 and Estrogen Receptor—forms a complex with TBX3 that stops cells dividing in living organisms in at least two different ways. One way is by altering how DNA is folded. The other way involves a non-coding strand of RNA from a gene called UCA1: this RNA prevents the degradation of proteins that stop cell division.

In normal proliferating cells, the CAPER α /TBX3 protein complex prevents the production of UCA1 RNA. In contrast, in cells that received a cancer causing stimulus, TBX3 and CAPER α physically separate: this activates production of UCA1 RNA and causes senescence. Further studies will be required to establish exactly how the CAPER α /TBX3 protein complex interacts with DNA and RNA to control senescence and prevent cancer.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.002](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.002)

of *Bmi1*^{-/-} and SV40 transformed mouse embryonic fibroblasts by overexpressed TBX2 and TBX3, respectively (Jacobs et al., 2000; Brummelkamp et al., 2002; Prince et al., 2004).

Mutations in human TBX3 cause a constellation of severe birth defects called ulnar-mammary syndrome (Bamshad et al., 1997). Efforts to understand the molecular biogenesis of this developmental disorder uncovered additional functions for TBX3 beyond transcriptional repression (Fan et al., 2009; Frank et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014) as well as critical roles in adult tissue homeostasis (Frank et al., 2012). The pleiotropic effects of TBX3 gain and loss of function suggest its molecular activities are context and cofactor dependent.

Despite the biologic importance of TBX3, few interacting proteins or target genes have been discovered, and the mechanisms underlying its regulation of cell fate, cell cycle, and carcinogenesis are obscure. We found that TBX3 associates with CAPER α (Coactivator of AP1 and Estrogen Receptor), a protein identified in a liver cirrhosis patient who developed hepatocellular carcinoma (Imai et al., 1993). CAPER α regulates hormone responsive expression and alternative splicing of minigene reporters in vitro (Jung et al., 2002; Dowhan et al., 2005) but its in vivo functions are unknown.

We show that a CAPER α /TBX3 repressor complex is required to prevent premature senescence of primary cells and regulates the activity of core senescence pathways in mouse embryos. We discovered co-regulated targets of this complex in vivo and during oncogene-induced senescence (OIS), including a novel tumor suppressor, the lncRNA UCA1. UCA1 is sufficient to induce senescence and does so in part by sequestering hnRNP A1 to specifically stabilize CDKN2A-p16^{INK} mRNA. Our finding that CAPER α /TBX3 regulates p16 levels by dual, reinforcing mechanisms position CAPER α /TBX3 and UCA1 upstream of multiple members of the p16/RB pathway in the regulatory hierarchy that controls cell proliferation, fate and senescence.

Results

CAPER α interacts with TBX3 in vivo

We recently discovered that TBX3 (human) and Tbx3 (mouse) interact with RNA-binding and splicing factors (Kumar et al., 2014). Among these, mass spectrometry of anti-TBX3 immunoprecipitated

(IP'd) proteins identified CAPER α (**Figure 1A**). Since TBX3 functions in mammary development and may contribute to the pathogenesis of breast and other hormone responsive cancers (**Douglas and Papaioannou, 2013**), its interaction with an ER α co-activator drove further investigation.

To determine if Tbx3 and Capera α interact in vivo, we IP'd endogenous Capera α from embryonic day (e)10.5 mouse embryo lysates (**Figure 1B**). Immunoblotting for Tbx3 confirmed its interaction with Capera α (**Figure 1C**, lane 5) and in vitro pull down assays revealed that their interaction is direct (**Figure 1D**, lane 6). Capera α is very broadly expressed during mouse embryonic development (Moon, unpublished), whereas Tbx3 expression is very tissue specific and dynamic. We thus questioned whether the endogenous proteins interact in mouse tissues relevant to malformations seen in humans with UMS. Immunohistochemistry on sectioned e10.5 embryos showed that Tbx3 and Capera α proteins are co-expressed and have distinct localization patterns in different tissues: Capera α is detected in all dorsal root ganglia nuclei (**Figure 1E**), some of which contain co-localized Tbx3; in proximal limb mesenchyme, Tbx3 and Capera α co-localize in nuclei (**Figure 1F**) while in some distal cells and the ectoderm, Capera α is nuclear and Tbx3 is cytoplasmic (**Figure 1G**, white arrowheads). Such tissue specificity suggests that functions of the Capera α /Tbx3 complex are context dependent.

TBX3 DNA binding and repressor domains (DBD, RD) independently mediate interactions with partner proteins (**Carlson et al., 2001; Coll et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2014**). To identify domains required for CAPER α interaction, we used a series of overexpression plasmids encoding mouse Tbx3 proteins with different mutations and functional domains (**Figure 1H**). The DBD, deleted repressor domain (Δ RD) and exon7 missense mutants are untagged proteins, whereas the C-terminal deletion mutants are Myc-tagged.

To assay the interactions of the untagged exogenous proteins with endogenous CAPER α in HEK293 cells, we needed to knockdown endogenous TBX3 with shRNA (**Figure 1I**). We previously demonstrated that mutant Tbx3 proteins produced from the overexpression plasmids are present in TBX3 knockdown HEK293 cells (Figure 2 in **Kumar et al. 2014**). CAPER α is present and can be IP'd in the context of knockdown of endogenous TBX3 and subsequent overexpression of mutant mouse Tbx3 proteins (**Figure 1J**). Immunoblot of anti-CAPER α IP'd samples shows that the endogenous CAPER α interacts with Tbx3 DBD mutant proteins (**Figure 1J**, lanes 2 and 3 are L143P and N227D, respectively).

The Tbx3 deletion constructs encode Myc-tagged mutants that can be distinguished from endogenous TBX3, so interactions were assayed in wild-type HEK293 cells. Myc-tagged deletion mutants are IP'd by the anti-Myc antibody (**Figure 1K**), and probing anti-Myc IP'd material for CAPER α reveals that deletions more proximal than amino acid 655 disrupt the CAPER α /Tbx3 interaction (**Figure 1K'**).

The observation that deletions of the Tbx3 C-terminus disrupt the CAPER α /Tbx3 interaction led us to test whether the C-terminal repressor domain, which is crucial for the ability of Tbx3 to function as a transcriptional repressor and immortalize fibroblasts (**Carlson et al., 2001**), plays a role. Although the untagged Δ RD mutant is produced in TBX3 shRNA knockdown cells and IP'd by the anti-Tbx3 antibody (**Figure 1L** and **Kumar et al., 2014**) it does not interact with CAPER α (**Figure 1L'**). CAPER α also fails to interact with a C-terminal Tbx3 frameshift mutant similar to one identified in humans with UMS (**Bamshad et al., 1999**) (**Figure 1—figure supplement 1**).

CAPER α and TBX3 are required to prevent premature senescence of primary human and mouse cells

Roles for TBX3 in cell cycle regulation and senescence of primary cells have not been reported. We employed loss-of-function to test whether TBX3 is required for sustained proliferation of primary cultured human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) and to determine if CAPER α functions in this process. We tested two different CAPER α and TBX3 shRNAs (please see 'Materials and methods' for sequences and location in target mRNAs). Both CAPER α and TBX3 shRNAs effectively decreased the amount of CAPER α mRNA (**Figure 2—figure supplements 1A and 2A,B**). Knockdown of either protein resulted in a dramatic increase in senescence associated β -galactosidase activity (SA- β gal, **Figure 2A–D; Figure 2—figure supplements 1 and 2C–H**). This effect is specific because it occurs with two different shRNAs and is rescued by overexpression of CAPER α (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1B,E,G,H**) and Tbx3 (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2B,E,G,H**). For all subsequent experiments, CAPER α shRNA 'A' and TBX3 shRNA 'A' were used to perform knockdown (KD) in HFFs (protein knockdowns are shown in **Figure 2—figure supplements 1 and 2, I** panels).

The effects of CAPER α and TBX3 KD on HFF cell growth, and SA- β gal activity suggest induction of premature senescence. Consistent with this, both KDs dramatically influenced nuclear structure,




Figure 1. CAPER α and TBX3 directly interact via the TBX3 repressor domain. **(A)** Representative spectrum for CAPER α identified in anti-TBX3 co-IP of HEK293 cell lysates. Mass spec analysis identified six specific CAPER α peptides, providing 8.5% sequence coverage of the protein. This spectrum shows fragmentation of one of these peptides, C*PSIAAAIAAVNALHGR, with diagnostic b- and y-series ions shown in red and blue, respectively. * indicates Figure 1. Continued on next page

Figure 1. Continued

carbamidomethylation. **(B)** Anti-CAPER α immunoblot (IB) analysis of anti-CAPER α immunoprecipitated (IP'd, lane 2) e10.5 mouse embryo lysates. Black arrowheads indicate IgG heavy chain and red indicate protein of interest (CAPER α or TBX3). **(C)** Anti-Tbx3 IB of anti-Tbx3 (lane 4) and anti-Caper α (lane 5) IP'd mouse embryo lysates. Rabbit (r)-IgG (lanes 1, 6) and mouse (m)-IgG (lane 7) are negative controls. **(D)** In vitro MBP pull down assay: MBP and MBP-Tbx3 bound amylose affinity columns were incubated with GST or GST-CAPER α . Bound proteins were eluted, subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by IB with anti-CAPER α antibody. **(E–G)** Colocalization of Tbx3 and Caper α in vivo shown by immunohistochemical analysis of sectioned e10.5 mouse embryo: embryonic dorsal root ganglion (DRG, **E**), proximal (**F**), and distal (**G**) limb bud with anti-Tbx3 (red) and anti-Caper α (green) antibodies and DAPI (blue). White arrowheads in **G** label representative ectodermal and mesenchymal cells with cytoplasmic Tbx3 and nuclear Caper α . **(H)** Schematic representation of mouse Tbx3 overexpression constructs. Tbx3 DNA binding domain (DBD) point, Δ RD and exon7 missense proteins are untagged and the C-terminal deletion mutants are Myc-tagged. **(I)** Anti-TBX3 IB of HEK293 cell lysates transfected with control or anti-TBX3 shRNA. **(J)** Anti-CAPER α IB of anti-CAPER α IP'd samples from HEK293 cells transfected with anti-TBX3 shRNA and expressing mouse Tbx3 proteins listed at top. Production and IP of endogenous CAPER α is not affected by production of mutant Tbx3 proteins. **(K)** Anti-Tbx3 IB of anti-CAPER α IP'd samples from HEK293 cells transfected with anti-TBX3 shRNA and expressing Tbx3 proteins as in **J**. The DBD point mutant proteins (lanes 2, 3) interact with CAPER α as efficiently as wild type Tbx3 (lanes 1, 4). **(L)** Anti-Myc IB of anti-Myc IP'd samples from HEK293 cell lysates expressing Myc-tagged mouse Tbx3 C-terminal deletion mutants. The mutant proteins are expressed and efficiently IP'd. These cells were not treated with anti-TBX3 shRNA because the expression constructs produce a Myc-tagged mutants that can be IP'd independently of endogenous TBX3. **(M)** anti-CAPER α IB of anti-Myc IP'd samples from HEK293 cell lysates expressing Myc-tagged mouse Tbx3 C-terminal deletion mutants. These cells were not treated with anti-TBX3 shRNA because the expression constructs produce a Myc-tagged mutants that can be IP'd independently of endogenous TBX3. **(N)** Anti-Tbx3 IB of anti-Tbx3 IP'd samples from HEK293 cells transfected with anti-TBX3 shRNA and expressing wt or repressor domain deletion mutant (Δ RD) mouse Tbx3. The shRNA does not prevent production of the overexpression proteins. **(O)** Anti-CAPER α IB of HEK293 cells transfected with anti-TBX3 shRNA and expressing mouse wt or Δ RD Tbx3 proteins and IP'd with anti-Tbx3 or IgG. Loss of the repressor domain prevents interaction with CAPER α . Black arrowheads indicate IgG heavy chain and red indicate protein of interest (CAPER α or TBX3). TBX3, CAPER α = human; Tbx3, Caper α = mouse.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.003](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.003)

The following figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Missense mutation of the C-terminus of Tbx3 disrupts interaction with CAPER α .

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.004](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.004)

chromatin organization and formation of SAHFs (**Figure 2G–J**). Expression of senescence mediators was increased and conversely, expression of cell growth and cell cycle promoting genes was similarly decreased by CAPER α and TBX3 KD (**Figure 2K–M**). Increased expression of CDKN2A-p16^{INK} (henceforth referred to as p16^{INK}) and decreased PCNA, E2F1 and 2, CDK2, CDK4, CDC2 transcripts indicate that CAPER α /TBX3 represses the p16/RB pathway in proliferating HFFs. PMAIP1, CDKN1A-p21, and other p53 pathway members were also increased. Collectively, these data indicate that CAPER α and TBX3 are required to prevent senescence of primary HFFs and act upstream of major cell cycle and senescence regulatory pathways.

Tbx3 null murine embryonic fibroblasts undergo p16/RB-mediated premature senescence, Caper α mislocalization and nuclear disruption

Tbx3 deficiency in mice causes lethal embryonic arrhythmias and limb defects however, these phenotypes are not due to increased apoptosis (**Frank et al., 2012** and Emechebe and Moon, unpublished). We hypothesized that Tbx3 may prevent senescence of embryonic cells, and so examined murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from e13.5 wild type (WT) and Tbx3 null (–/–) embryos. WT MEFs undergo ~10 passages with regular, 20 hr doubling times. In contrast, Tbx3^{–/–} MEFs had increased SA- β gal activity and ceased proliferating after only four passages (**Figure 2N–Q**). Most Tbx3^{–/–} MEFs had distorted or ruptured nuclei (**Figure 2—figure supplement 3A–C**) and lamin β 1 staining was already altered at passage 1 (**Figure 2—figure supplement 3B'**). Caper α null mutant embryos do not survive long enough to generate MEFs for complementary experiments (Emechebe and Moon, unpublished) however, Caper α localization is markedly abnormal in Tbx3^{–/–} MEFs after only 1 passage (**Figure 2—figure supplement 3D–F'**). These data suggest that Tbx3 is required for preservation of nuclear architecture and to tether Caper α in its normal nuclear domains in proliferating cells.

Consistent with premature senescence seen in Tbx3^{–/–} MEFs, key pro-senescence pathways are activated after loss of Tbx3 in vivo: in protein lysates from Tbx3^{–/–} embryos, RB was hypophosphorylated on multiple serine residues, consistent with increased p16 and decreased Cdk2 and Cdk4 protein levels relative to control (**Figure 2R**). The levels of p21 and other senescence markers were increased, while numerous Cyclins and other Cdks were decreased (**Figure 2R, Figure 2—figure supplement 3G**). All of these findings are consistent with a requirement for Tbx3 to prevent senescence in embryonic mice and MEFs.




Figure 2. Knockdown of endogenous *CAPER α* and *TBX3* in primary human fibroblasts and mouse embryos induces premature senescence and disrupts expression of cell cycle and senescence regulators. (A–C) Representative bright field images of senescence associated β -galactosidase (SA- β G) assays of HFFs transduced with control, *TBX3* shRNA A or *CAPER α* shRNA A. Only occasional cells in the control transduction have detectable lacZ staining (blue) Figure 2. Continued on next page

Figure 2. Continued

whereas knockdown of either TBX3 or CAPER α results in marked changes in cell morphology and increased lacZ staining. (D) Bar graph quantitating % beta-galactosidase positive cells from four replicate plates of SA- β gal assays. * indicates $p < 0.001$ compared to control. (E and F) 3T5 cell proliferation assay (Lessnick et al., 2002) of cumulative population doublings in HFFs transduced at passage 30 with control, TBX3 or CAPER α shRNAs. These are representative curves of duplicate experiments; each point on the curve is a measurement of cell count from a single plating followed over the course of the experiment as described in methods. (G–J) Immunohistochemical analysis of H3K9me3 immunoreactivity (red) and DAPI (blue) in HFFs after knockdown with control (G and I), TBX3 (H), or CAPER α (J) shRNAs. Individual channels are shown and the merged image is on the right. Note increased nuclear punctate staining consistent with Senescence-associated heterochromatin foci (SAHFs) in both channels and evidence of nuclear disruption (white arrowheads in red channel) after loss of either TBX3 or CAPER α . (K–M) Analysis of cell cycle and senescence marker transcript levels in HFFs transduced with control, TBX3, or CAPER α shRNAs. (K) Relative transcript levels assessed by quantitative real time-PCR (qPCR) of cDNA. Values reflect fold change in knockdown HFFs relative to control after normalization to HPRT levels. Note general pattern of expression changes are similar in TBX3 (blue) and CAPER α (red) knockdowns. Data are plotted as fold change mean \pm standard deviation. * indicates $p < 0.05$ relative to control. (L and M) Agarose gel of PCR amplicons of cDNAs reverse transcribed from TBX3 (L) or CAPER α (M) shRNA knockdown HFF RNA reveals similar decreases in cell cycle promoting genes CDK2 and 4 in TBX3 and CAPER α knockdowns and increased p21 levels. (N and O) SA- β gal assay of wild type and *Tbx3* null MEFS reveals that *Tbx3* is required to prevent premature senescence of primary murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). (P) Quantitation of % beta-galactosidase positive cells from five replicate experiments exemplified in O. P. * indicates $p < 0.01$. (Q) 3T5 cell proliferation assay of cumulative population doublings in wild-type and *Tbx3* null MEFs. These are representative curves from duplicate experiments; each point on the curve is a measurement of cell count from a single plating followed over the course of the experiment as described in 'Materials and methods'. (R) IBs to assay levels of cell cycle and senescence proteins in wild type and *Tbx3* null embryo lysates. Tubulin loading control is at top left (Tub). The changes at the protein level correlate with those observed at the RNA level (K–M) and RB is hypophosphorylated on multiple serine residues consistent with increased p16 and decreased CDK activity. TBX3, CAPER α = human; *Tbx3*, *Capera = mouse.*

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.005](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.005)

The following figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Effective knockdown of endogenous CAPER α in primary human foreskin fibroblasts using viral shRNA transduction.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.006](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.006)

Figure supplement 2. Effective knockdown of endogenous TBX3 in primary human foreskin fibroblasts using viral shRNA transduction.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.007](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.007)

Figure supplement 3. *Tbx3* null murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFS) have altered lamin β 1 localization, nuclear disruption and mislocalized Capera α .

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.008](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.008)

Previous studies have suggested that overexpression of TBX3 permits senescence bypass by directly repressing CDKN2A-p14^{ARF} (p14^{ARF}) to activate p53 (Brummelkamp et al., 2002), but a role for TBX3 in regulating p16^{INK} and the RB pathway has not been demonstrated. Thus, we expected that loss of p53 would rescue senescence resulting from TBX3 or CAPER α KD. To test this, we transduced TBX3 and CAPER α KD HFFs with shRNA to p53 (Masutomi et al., 2003) and assayed SA- β gal activity and growth. Surprisingly, although p53 shRNA effectively decreased p53 (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A), it did not rescue SA- β gal activity or growth arrest due to absence of TBX3 or CAPER α (Figure 3B,E,G,H). In contrast, shRNA-mediated KD of either RB (Boehm et al., 2005) or p16 (Haga et al., 2007) (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B,C) rescued these phenotypes in TBX3 and CAPER α KD cells (Figure 3C,F–H,I–N). These rescue experiments demonstrate that the p16/RB pathway mediates senescence downstream of CAPER α and TBX3 loss-of-function in primary cells.

CAPER α /TBX3 regulates chromatin status of the p16^{INK} promoter

Increased p16 protein and RB hypophosphorylation in *Tbx3*^{−/−} embryos and p16/RB-mediated senescence after CAPER α and TBX3 KD could result from loss of direct repression of p16^{INK} by CAPER α /TBX3 in proliferating cells. We screened 7 amplicons spanning ~6 kb upstream of p16^{INK} by ChIP-PCR of HFF chromatin (Figure 3—figure supplement 2); 3 amplicons were bound by CAPER α and TBX3 (Figure 3O, lanes 7, 10). Loss of either protein decreased the heterochromatic marks H3K9me3 (Figure 3O, lanes 14, 15) and H3K27me3 (Figure 3—figure supplement 3) and increased the euchromatic mark H3K4me3 (Figure 3O, lanes 17, 18). Notably, less CAPER α occupied p16^{INK} elements after TBX3 KD (Figure 3O, lanes 11) while the amount of TBX3 bound post-CAPER α KD was comparable to control (Figure 3O, lanes 9 vs 7). This is consistent with the abnormal localization of CAPER α seen in *Tbx3*^{−/−} MEFS (Figure 2—figure supplement 3D'–F) and indicates that CAPER α requires TBX3 to occupy p16^{INK} regulatory chromatin.

We examined whether CAPER α and/or TBX3 associate with promoters of other cell cycle genes that are transcriptionally dysregulated after CAPER α /TBX3 loss-of-function (Figure 2K–M). Antibodies against TBX3 and CAPER α ChIP'd the p14^{ARF} initiator (Lingbeek et al., 2002) (Figure 3—figure supplement 4A);




Figure 3. RB and p16 mediate senescence after CAPER α /TBX3 loss of function and CAPER α /TBX3 regulates chromatin structure of CDKN2A-p16. (A–F) SA- β gal assays of HFFs stably transduced with control (Ctl) or p53 (Masutomi et al., 2003) or RB (Boehm et al., 2005) shRNAs subsequently transduced with CAPER α or TBX3 shRNAs. (G) % Quantitation of A–F from three replicate experiments. * indicates $p < 0.05$ relative to Control or p53 shRNAs. (H) Cell proliferation assayed by crystal violet incorporation (OD units) in HFFs treated as in A–F. * indicates $p < 0.001$ relative to Ctl or p53 shRNAs. (I–L) SA- β gal Figure 3. Continued on next page

Figure 3. Continued

assays of HFFs stably transduced with control or p16 (Haga et al., 2007) shRNAs subsequently transduced with CAPER α or TBX3 shRNAs. (M) % Quantitation of I-L from three replicate experiments. * indicates p<0.05 relative to Ctl shRNA. (N) Cell proliferation assayed by crystal violet incorporation (OD units) in HFFs treated as in I–L. * indicates p<0.01 relative to Ctl shRNA. (O) ChIP-PCR with antibodies listed at top on three regions upstream of the *CDKN2A-p16* transcriptional start site (TSS); position relative to (TSS) is indicated in parentheses at left of panels. PCR of input material used for the ChIP is shown under 'Input'. The shRNA transduced is listed above each lane (HFF Tx). TBX3 knockdown decreases binding of TBX3 (lanes 8) and CAPER α (lanes 11) to all three regions. CAPER α knockdown has minimal effect on TBX3 binding (lanes 9). Knockdown of either TBX3 or CAPER α decreases the repressive chromatin mark H3K9me3 (lanes 14, 15) and increases the activating chromatin mark H3K4me3 (lanes 17, 18). TBX3, CAPER α = human; Tbx3, Capera = mouse.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.009](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.009)

The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. Effective knockdown of p53, RB and p16 in HFFs.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.010](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.010)

Figure supplement 2. UCSC Genome Browser view of the *CDKN2A* locus and 5' regions screened for binding by CAPER α and TBX3.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.011](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.011)

Figure supplement 3. *CDKN2a-p16* H3K27 trimethylation markedly decreases in HFFs after knockdown of CAPER α or TBX3 consistent with activation of *CDKN2a-p16* expression.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.012](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.012)

Figure supplement 4. Testing CAPER α and TBX3 binding to *p14*, *p21*, *CDK2*, *CDK4*, and *CDKN1B* regulatory elements.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.013](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.013)

here too, TBX3 KD disrupted CAPER α binding (Figure 3—figure supplement 4A', red arrowhead). Neither CAPER α nor TBX3 associated with amplicons scanning 1.8 kb upstream of *CDKN1A-p21* or elements reportedly bound by TBX2 or TBX3 in other cell types (Figure 3—figure supplement 4B) (Prince et al., 2004; Saramaki et al., 2006; Hoogaars et al., 2008). Testing for association with known regulatory elements of *CDK2*, *CDK4*, *CDKN1B* was also negative (Figure 3—figure supplement 4C–E) (Baksh et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2005; Louie et al., 2010). These data indicate that in proliferating primary cells, CAPER α /TBX3 specifically and directly repress the *CDKN2A* locus by binding multiple regulatory sequence elements and regulating chromatin marks.

Expression of the lncRNA *UCA1* is repressed by CAPER α /TBX3 and sufficient to drive senescence of primary cells

To identify novel genes repressed by CAPER α /TBX3, we employed differential display to detect transcripts that increased in response to KD of TBX3 and CAPER α in HEK293 cells (Figure 4A–C). Although most transcripts were unaffected by either KD, or changes were not shared (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A), *DUSP4* and *UCA1* were upregulated (Figure 4D, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B). *DUSP4* is known to regulate cell survival and tumor progression, and overexpression induces senescence downstream of RB/E2F (Torres et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2007), thus placing CAPER α /TBX3 upstream of another p16/RB effector. Little is known about the function of the lncRNA *UCA1* (Wang et al., 2006, 2008), so we investigated it further.

We found that shRNA KD of CAPER α or TBX3 in primary HFFs recapitulated the increase in *UCA1* transcripts seen in HEK293 cells (Figure 4E–H). We then tested whether CAPER α /TBX3 directly control transcription of *UCA1* by interacting with potential regulatory elements. Public ChIP data (<http://genome.ucsc.edu/>) indicate that the 2 kb upstream of *UCA1* may contain such elements. We assayed 3 amplicons in this region (Figure 4I: A1, A2, A3) by ChIP-PCR of TBX3 and CAPER α : only region A3 was bound (Figure 4J,K, lanes 18, red arrowheads).

We next determined whether increased *UCA1* expression in response to KD of CAPER α or TBX3 was associated with altered chromatin structure (as seen with *p16^{INK}*, Figure 3O). *UCA1/A3* is normally in a heterochromatin configuration in HFFs, with repressive marks H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 (Figure 4L, lanes 12, 14) and little H3K4me3 (Figure 4L, lane 18). After TBX3 KD, activating chromatin marks replaced repressive ones (Figure 4L, lanes 13, 15 and 19) and markedly less CAPER α was bound (Figure 4L, lane 17, red arrowhead). CAPER α KD also led to loss of repressive marks on *UCA1/A3* (Figure 4M lanes 9, 16), although TBX3 remained bound (Figure 4M, lane 11, red arrowhead). Combined with previous findings, we conclude that: (1) TBX3 recruits CAPER α to *UCA1/A3* chromatin, (2) TBX3 alone is insufficient to repress *UCA1* and, (3) the default state of *UCA1* in proliferating HFFs is repression conferred by CAPER α /TBX3.




Figure 4. CAPER α /TBX3 directly represses expression of the long noncoding RNA *UCA1*. (A–C) Gel showing RT-PCR analysis of *TBX3*, *CAPER α* , and *HPRT* expression in control, *TBX3* and *CAPER α* siRNA-transfected HEK293 cells. The siRNAs effectively decreased transcript levels of their targets. (D) Differential display: representative PAGE gel of cDNAs derived from random primed, RT-PCR'd mRNAs from *CAPER α* , *TBX3* and control siRNA transfected HEK293 cells. Blue arrowheads denote upregulated transcripts subsequently identified by sequencing as *DUSP4* and *UCA1*. (E and F) qPCR analysis of *TBX3* and *CAPER α* transcript levels in control and *TBX3* or *CAPER α* shRNA transduced HFFs (repeat of experiment shown in **Figure 2—figure supplements 1A and 2A**). (G) RT-PCR analysis of *UCA1* and *HPRT* gene expression in control, *TBX3* or *CAPER α* shRNA-transduced HFFs. (H) qPCR analysis of *UCA1* transcript levels in control, *TBX3* or *CAPER α* shRNA transduced HFFs. Results confirm differential display result that KD of *TBX3* or *CAPER α* results in increase in *UCA1* transcript levels. (I) Schematic representation of the *UCA1* locus with primer sets employed for ChIP-PCR amplification of denoted regions 5' of gene (A1, A2, A3). (J) Anti-TBX3 ChIP-PCR of regions of the *UCA1* promoter in HFFs; only A3 is ChIP'd by TBX3 (lane 18, red arrowhead). (K) Anti-CAPER α ChIP-PCR of regions of the *UCA1* promoter in HFFs; only A3 chromatin is ChIP'd (lane 18, red arrowhead). (L) ChIP-PCR analysis of *UCA1*/A3 chromatin from in HFFs transduced with control (C) or TBX3 (KD) shRNA; ChIP antibodies are listed at top. Note decreased CAPER α binding after TBX3 KD (lane 17, red arrowhead), gain of activating mark H3K4me3 and loss of repressive marks H3K9me3 and H3K27me3. (M) ChIP-PCR analysis of *UCA1*/A3 with antibodies listed at top of panel in HFFs transduced with control (C) or *CAPER α* shRNAs. Note continued TBX3 binding despite *CAPER α* KD (lane 11, red arrowhead) and changes in chromatin marks parallel those seen in with TBX3 KD in panel L. TBX3, CAPER α = human; Tbx3, Capera = mouse.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.014](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.014)

The following figure supplements are available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Validation of differential display findings.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.015](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.015)

UCA1 modulates behavior of bladder cancer cell lines (Wang et al., 2008), but there are no data on its function in primary cells; our results suggest that *UCA1* may be involved in premature senescence. *UCA1* transcripts are low in proliferating HFFs, but 4 days after overexpression of *UCA1* (Figure 5A), a robust SA- β gal response is evident (Figure 5B–D). Cells constitutively expressing *UCA1* ceased proliferating during selection and accumulated SAHFs (Figure 5E,F). Cell proliferation decreased in a *UCA1* dosage-sensitive manner (Figure 5G–I), consistent with reduced levels of cell cycle promoting transcripts and increased levels of pro-senescence ones (Figure 5J). These transcriptional changes were manifest at the protein level (Figure 5—figure supplement 1). Premature senescence resulting from overexpression of *UCA1* in HFFs reveals that this lncRNA is a novel regulator of cell proliferation and may function as a tumor suppressor in some contexts.

Loss of *UCA1* delays the onset of oncogene-induced senescence

We tested the hypothesis that *UCA1* is required for induction of oncogene-induced senescence (OIS) in primary cells ('RAS': HFFs transduced with constitutively active G^{12V} RAS [Serrano et al., 1997]). There are markedly more *UCA1* transcripts in RAS compared to presenescent 'PS' HFFs (Figure 5K). Knockdown of *UCA1* in RAS HFFs reduced SA- β gal activity (Figure 5L–Q) and improved RAS cell growth: the number of Ki67 + RAS cells was increased at days 3 and 6 after *UCA1* KD (Figure 5R, P0 and P1). However, by passage 2, the number of Ki67 + cells was not statistically different in *UCA1* KD cells from control, despite persistently low levels of *UCA1* (Figure 5S) and decreased levels of pro-senescence transcripts (Figure 5T). Overall, this indicates that senescence can occur in the absence of high levels of *UCA1* but that timely execution of the OIS program requires *UCA1*.

We next investigated whether increase in *UCA1* transcripts in OIS is a manifestation of loss of CAPER α /TBX3 occupancy/repression of *UCA1/A3*. Indeed, the repressor dissociates from *UCA1/A3* in RAS HFFs and *UCA1/A3* chromatin switches from heterochromatic to euchromatic marks (Figure 5U). This is consistent with the senescence-inducing effects of CAPER α /TBX3 loss-of-function (Figure 2) and resulting upregulation of *UCA1* (Figure 4), and establishes CAPER α /TBX3 regulation of *UCA1* in an independent model of senescence.

UCA1 promotes senescence by sequestering hnRNP A1 to stabilize *p16^{INK}* mRNA

Some lncRNAs influence transcription by recruiting chromatin modifiers to target genes (Fatica and Bozzoni, 2014). We tested whether the increased levels of pro-senescence transcripts occurring in response to *UCA1* (Figure 5J) were the result activating chromatin changes however, CHIP-PCR assay for H3K9 acetylation of the *p16^{INK}*, *p14^{ARF}*, *CDKN1A-p21* (and other) promoters did not reveal changes in this activating mark in response to *UCA1* (Figure 5—figure supplement 2). We thus tested whether altered mRNA stability contributed to the observed changes. HFFs were transfected with *UCA1* expression or control plasmid and after 2 days, treated with Actinomycin D. Total RNA was collected at 0–4 hr post-treatment and mRNA levels assayed using RT-PCR. Remarkably, overexpression of *UCA1* resulted in the stabilization of mature *p16^{INK}*, *p14^{ARF}*, *E2F1*, and *TGF β 1* mRNAs: in the time frame examined, *p16^{INK}*, *p14^{ARF}*, and *E2F1* mRNAs do not decay and their $t_{1/2}$ values are therefore denoted as 'n' (no decay). The half-life estimates shown were calculated using linear regression; those best fit lines, their equations and R values are shown in Figure 6—figure supplement 1. $t_{1/2}$ of *p16^{INK}* mRNA in control cells was 3.9 hr vs n in *UCA1* overexpressing cells; *p14^{ARF}*, 2.4 vs n; *E2F1*, 7.2 vs n; *TGF β 1*, 1.9 vs 2.9. In marked contrast, *MYC*, *CDKN1A-p21*, *CDKN2D* and *RB* mRNAs decayed at rates indistinguishable from control (Figure 6A; Figure 6—figure supplement 1). The effects of *UCA1* overexpression on *p16^{INK}* mRNA stability were confirmed by Northern blot (Figure 6—figure supplement 2).

Regulation of *p16^{INK}* transcript stability is a critical mechanism for growth control (Wang et al., 2005; Chang et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012) and hnRNP A1 has been postulated to stabilize *p16^{INK}* mRNA (Zhu et al., 2002), but this has not been tested. To this end, we treated HFFs with siRNA to hnRNP A1 and used Actinomycin D to assess stability of *p16^{INK}* transcripts. Loss of hnRNP A1 (Figure 6—figure supplement 3) stabilized both *p16^{INK}* ($t_{1/2}$ —2.1 in control vs 12.3 after HNRNP A1 knockdown) and *p14^{ARF}* mRNAs ($t_{1/2}$ —1.5 in control vs 6.9 after hnRNP A1 knockdown) but not those of *E2F1* or *MYC* (Figure 6B). Half-life estimates were obtained as described for panel A and the best fit lines, their equations and R values are shown in Figure 6—figure supplement 3B. The differences in control half-lives between Figure 6A,B are likely attributable to the different treatments used: in A, control cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1 plasmid, while in B, control cells were transfected with




Figure 5. *UCA1* expression is sufficient to induce senescence and required for normal execution of oncogene-induced senescence. (A) *UCA1* and *HPRT* transcripts assessed by RT-PCR in control and *UCA1*-overexpressing HFFs. (B and C) Representative bright field images of SA-βgal assay of cultured HFFs transfected with control and *UCA1* overexpression plasmids. (D) % quantitation of SA-βgal cells from five replicates in control and *UCA1* overexpressing HFFs. * indicates $p < 0.05$. (E and F) Immunohistochemical analysis reveals co-localization of H3K9me3 and DAPI in SAHFs in HFFs transfected with *UCA1* overexpression plasmid (F) but not control plasmid (E). (G) Cell count of control and *UCA1* overexpressing HFFs 3 days post transfection. Mean \pm SD of 3 plates is shown at each time point. * indicates $p < 0.005$ relative to control. (H) Crystal violet assay of cell growth in control and *UCA1* overexpressing HFFs transfected with 2 μ g of expression or control vector and assayed daily for 3 days post-transfection. * indicates $p < 0.01$ relative to control. (I) Crystal violet assay of HFFs cultured for 3 days after transfecting 0, 1, 2, or 4 μ g of control or *UCA1* overexpression plasmid. * indicates $p < 0.01$ relative to control. (J) Transcript levels assessed by qPCR; values reflect fold change in *UCA1*-overexpressing HFFs relative to control after normalization to *HPRT* levels. * indicates $p < 0.05$ relative to control. (K) qPCR analysis of *UCA1* expression in untransduced, presenescent (PS) HFFs and HFFs transduced with constitutively active G12VRAS (RAS). * indicates $p < 0.05$ relative to PS. (L) Efficient knockdown of *UCA1* transcripts in RAS HFFs with *UCA1* shRNA (quantitated in panel T). (M–P) SA-βgal assays of RAS HFFs transduced with either control or *UCA1* shRNA at 3 (M and O) and 5 (N and P) days post transduction. (Q) % quantitation of SA-βgal cells from six replicate experiments as represented in panels M–P. * indicates $p < 0.001$ relative to control. (R) % quantitation of Ki67 + cells from three replicate experiments in control vs *UCA1* shRNA transduced RAS HFFs. * indicates $p < 0.001$ relative to control. (S) RT-PCR for *UCA1* transcripts shows persistent knockdown of *UCA1* in RAS shRNA cells with increasing passage (P0–P2). (T) qPCR analysis of fold changes in transcript levels of cell cycle and senescence genes after *UCA1* shRNA knockdown in RAS HFFs. * indicates $p < 0.05$ relative to control. (U) ChIP-PCR analysis of *UCA1* region A3 with antibodies listed at top in PS and RAS HFFs. Note gain of activating (H3K4me3, H3K9ace, H4K5ace) and loss of repressive marks (H3K9me3, H3K27me3) at the *UCA1* locus after oncogene-induced senescence by RAS. TBX3, CAPER α = human; Tbx3, Caper α = mouse.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.02805.016

The following figure supplements are available for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. Western blots showing changes in protein levels in response to *UCA1* overexpression in HFFs.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.02805.017

Figure supplement 2. ChIP-PCR assay for H3K9 acetylation of known regulatory elements of prosenescence and cell cycle genes whose expression is dysregulated after *UCA1* overexpression.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.02805.018




Figure 6. UCA1 stabilizes CDKN2A-p16 mRNA levels during senescence by sequestering hnRNP A1. (A) Graphs of transcript levels assayed by RT-qPCR in HFFs transfected with control (blue) or UCA1 (red) expression plasmids and treated with Actinomycin (D). Y axis shows % mRNA level relative to time zero and X axis shows time in hours assayed post treatment. The estimated half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) were obtained using linear regression; the best fit lines, their $t_{1/2}$ values, and the corresponding $t_{1/2}$ values for the control are shown. (B) mRNA stability in HFFs transfected with control (blue) or hnRNP A1 KD (red) expression plasmids and treated with Actinomycin (D). Y axis shows % mRNA level relative to time zero and X axis shows time in hours assayed post treatment. The estimated half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) were obtained using linear regression; the best fit lines, their $t_{1/2}$ values, and the corresponding $t_{1/2}$ values for the control are shown. (C) RIP analysis of UCA1 and hnRNP A1 binding to CDKN2A-p16 mRNA. (D) Input analysis of UCA1 and hnRNP A1 binding to CDKN2A-p16 mRNA. (E) Western blot analysis of UCA1 and hnRNP A1 binding to CDKN2A-p16 mRNA. (F) Western blot analysis of hnRNP A1 binding to CDKN2A-p16 mRNA. *Continued on next page*

Figure 6. Continued

equations and R values are shown in **Figure 6—figure supplement 1**. * indicates $p < 0.04$ for $p16^{INK}$ and $p < 0.01$ for all others. **(B)** Assay of mRNA levels in HFFs transfected with control or hnRNP A1 siRNA and treated with Actinomycin D. Axes and $t_{1/2}$ calculations are as in panel **A**. * indicates $p < 0.05$. **(C–E)** Agarose gels of RT-PCR products assessing levels of *CDKN2A-p16* (*p16*, panel **C**), *UCA1* (panel **D**), and negative control lncRNA *TUG1* (panel **E**) transcripts in PS and RAS HFFs treated as labeled at top and subjected to RIP with anti-hnRNP A1 antibody. mlgG lanes are negative controls for RIP assays. Gels from left to right show: PS vs RAS; control vs *UCA1* overexpression; control vs TBX3 or CAPER α knockdown; RAS vs RAS/*UCA1* knockdown. **(C)** Lane 7 (red arrowhead) shows loss of $p16^{INK}$ /hnRNP A1 interaction in RAS. Lane 14 (red arrowhead) shows loss of $p16^{INK}$ /hnRNP A1 interaction with *UCA1* overexpression. Lanes 23 and 24 show loss of $p16^{INK}$ /hnRNP A1 interaction after TBX3 or CAPER α knockdown. Lane 27 shows that *UCA1* knockdown decreases the total amount of $p16^{INK}$ mRNA in RAS cells. Lane 31 shows that *UCA1* knockdown increases $p16^{INK}$ mRNA/hnRNP A1 binding (red arrowhead) in RAS cells, even though there is less total $p16^{INK}$ (lane 27). **(F)** Panels show immunoblots to detect hnRNP A1 protein in input samples assayed in panels **C–E**. Lanes are numbered to correspond with panels above. TBX3, CAPER α = human; Tbx3, Caper α = mouse.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.019](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.019)

The following figure supplements are available for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. Graphs showing best fit lines, their equations, and R values used to calculate estimated mRNA half-life values shown in **Figure 6A**.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.020](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.020)

Figure supplement 2. Northern blot assay of $p16^{INK}$ mRNA levels in the absence and presence of *UCA1*.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.021](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.021)

Figure supplement 3. Graphs showing best fit lines, their equations and R values used to calculate estimated half-life values after hnRNP A1 siRNA knockdown shown in **Figure 6B**.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.022](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.022)

Figure supplement 4. RNA Immunoprecipitation analysis of hnRNP A1 interactions with *Myc* and *p14ARF* mRNAs.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.023](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.023)

Figure supplement 5. RIP-PCR of HFF lysates using antibodies listed at top.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.024](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.024)

Figure supplement 6. RIP-PCR indicates that *RB*, *p21*, and *CDK6* mRNAs do not interact with hnRNP A1 in PS or RAS HFFs.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.025](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.025)

control siRNA. The half-life of an mRNA is cell/context specific (as evident in the differences in control half-lives in 6A vs 6B) and in general, cell cycle regulatory genes have short half-lives (Sharova et al., 2009). The $t_{1/2}$ of $p16^{INK}$ mRNA we observed in HFFs transfected with either control plasmid ($t_{1/2}$ –3.9) or control siRNA ($t_{1/2}$ –2.1) is similar to that reported in HeLa cells ($t_{1/2}$ –2.9) (Chang et al., 2010). The results we obtained were also similar to those reported for *MYC* mRNA (Herrick and Ross, 1994; Sharova et al., 2009), *CDKN1A* mRNA in HT29-tsp53 cells (Melanson et al., 2011) and ES cells (Sharova et al., 2009), and *E2F1* mRNA in ES cells (Sharova et al., 2009). The half-lives of *Rb* and *TGF β 1* are mRNAs extremely variable and those we obtained in HFFs were shorter than reported in ES cells (Sharova et al., 2009).

We next used RNA-IP (RIP) to determine if hnRNP A1 binds $p16^{INK}$ and $p14^{ARF}$ mRNAs in proliferating cells and found that this was indeed the case (Figure 6C, lane 6 and Figure 6—figure supplement 4). Remarkably, hnRNP A1/ $p16^{INK}$ binding was lost in RAS HFFs (Figure 6C, lane 7), despite an overall increase in the number of $p16^{INK}$ transcripts (Figure 6C, lane 3). As shown previously, *UCA1* RNA levels also increase with RAS (Figure 6D, lane 3). *UCA1* is bound by hnRNP A1 in PS cells (Figure 6D, lanes 6, 7; Figure 6—figure supplement 5), but unlike $p16^{INK}$, the hnRNP A1/*UCA1* interaction increases in RAS cells (Figure 6D, lane 7). *TUG1* lncRNA serves as a negative control (Figure 6E). Protein levels for hnRNP A1 are shown in Figure 6F. The interaction between *UCA1* and hnRNP A1 is specific, as *UCA1* does not bind hnRNP K, C1/C2, H, U, or D (Figure 6—figure supplement 5). Although hnRNP A1 binds *MYC* and *p14ARF* mRNAs (Figure 6—figure supplement 4), it does not bind *RB*, *p21* or *CDK6* mRNAs under the numerous conditions tested (Figure 6—figure supplement 6).

The opposite binding properties of *UCA1* and $p16^{INK}$ mRNA with hnRNP A1 in PS vs RAS HFFs led us to postulate that *UCA1* stabilizes $p16^{INK}$ mRNA during OIS by disrupting the interaction between hnRNP A1 and $p16^{INK}$ mRNA. In control transfected proliferating cells, there is robust binding of $p16^{INK}$ to hnRNP A1 (Figure 6C, lane 13), but direct overexpression of *UCA1* (Figure 6D, lane 10) or that resulting from TBX3 or CAPER α KD (Figure 6D, lanes 17, 18) disrupts the hnRNP A1/ $p16^{INK}$ mRNA interaction (Figure 6C, lanes 14, 23, 24, red arrowheads). These findings support the hypothesis that loss of hnRNP A1/ $p16^{INK}$ mRNA interaction in OIS (Figure 6C, lane 7) is the result of increased *UCA1* expression and its binding and sequestration of hnRNP A1 (Figure 6D, lane 7). To further test this, we used shRNA to KD *UCA1* in RAS HFFs (Figure 6D, lane 27). *UCA1* KD restored the interaction between

hnRNP A1 and $p16^{INK}$ mRNA (Figure 6C, lane 31) and led to lower levels of total $p16^{INK}$ mRNA (Figure 6C, lane 27), a finding consistent with the negative effects of hnRNP A1/ $p16^{INK}$ interaction on stability of $p16^{INK}$ transcripts. The effects of *UCA1* on $p16^{INK}$ mRNA stability are specific, because hnRNP A1 interactions with *MYC* or $p14^{ARF}$ mRNAs are unaffected by *UCA1* (Figure 6—figure supplement 1).

In total, these findings indicate that in proliferating cells, the very low quantity of *UCA1* transcripts is insufficient to disrupt hnRNP A1/ $p16^{INK}$ binding, and levels of $p16^{INK}$ mRNA are low due to: (1) direct repression by CAPER α /TBX3 and, (2) $p16^{INK}$ mRNA instability conferred by hnRNP A1. When *UCA1* levels increase during OIS, by *UCA1* overexpression, or via KD of CAPER α /TBX3, *UCA1* binds and sequesters hnRNP A1, preventing it from destabilizing $p16^{INK}$ mRNA.

The CAPER α /TBX3 co-repressor dissociates during oncogene-induced senescence leading to activation of *UCA1* and pro-senescence pathways

Increased p16 protein is required for RAS-induced senescence in MEFS and some human cell types (Serrano et al., 1997), leading us to determine whether OIS affects CAPER α /TBX3 occupancy of $p16^{INK}$ chromatin. *CDKN2A-p16^{INK}* genomic regulatory elements bound in PS HFFs (Figure 4I) were not occupied by either TBX3 or CAPER α in RAS HFFs (Figure 7A). Chromatin marks on these regions switched from heterochromatic to euchromatic (Figure 7B, Figure 7—figure supplement 1A). This was also observed with *UCA1/A3* (Figure 5U) and *DUSP4* chromatin (Figure 7—figure supplement 1B).

We investigated the possibility that altered quantity of either CAPER α or TBX3 could disrupt the stoichiometry of their interaction and cause dissociation from $p16^{INK}$ and *UCA1* regulatory elements in OIS. Surprisingly, both TBX3 and CAPER α protein levels were increased in RAS HFFs (Figure 7C), but they no longer co-IP'd (Figure 7D, red box). Immunocytochemistry of endogenous TBX3 and CAPER α in PS and RAS HFFs confirmed increased protein levels in OIS (Figure 7F–M), and revealed dramatic changes in CAPER α localization: CAPER α immunoreactivity became concentrated in large intranuclear foci (Figure 7L,M), as we previously observed in early passage *Tbx3*^{−/−} MEFS (Figure 2—figure supplement 2D–F). These foci are distinct from SAHFs and PML bodies (Figure 7M and Figure 7—figure supplement 2).

To further investigate the molecular basis of senescence initiation after loss of CAPER α /TBX3, we performed genome-wide transcriptional profiling 2 days post CAPER α , TBX3 and control KD in HFFs. More than half of the transcripts with expression altered 1.5-fold or more by CAPER α KD were similarly affected by loss of TBX3 (N = 2375 CAPER α KD, 2188 TBX3 KD; 1157 co-regulated, $p < < < < 0.0001$, Figure 7—source data 1–3, Figure 7N,O). Gene ontology-biologic process (GO-BP) analysis with DAVID (Huang da et al., 2009a, 2009b) showed highly significant co-regulation of 'transcription regulation' (increased expression) and 'cell-cycle' (decreased expression) transcripts (Figure 7N,O). We tested a subset of these with known roles in senescence by qPCR: 100% validated and were similarly altered by RAS (Figure 7—figure supplement 3). Further interrogation of this group revealed that *IL6* and *HDAC9* are CAPER α /TBX3 direct targets and their upregulation in RAS is associated with loss of CAPER α /TBX3 binding (Figure 7—figure supplement 4).

We compared CAPER α /TBX3 co-regulated transcripts to a published data set comparing PS and $G12V$ RAS fibroblasts (Loayza-Puch et al., 2013). This revealed that 11% of CAPER α /TBX3 up-regulated transcripts were also increased by RAS (Figure 7N'); among these, GO-BP 'programmed cell death' (31%) and 'transcription regulation' (34%) were highly overrepresented. 30% of CAPER α /TBX3 down-regulated transcripts were also in the RAS data set; >1/3 of these were cell cycle genes (Figure 7O'). In all comparisons, the number of transcripts common to both groups was greater than predicted by chance and highly statistically significant (Figure 7—source data 3). KEGG pathway analyses revealed overrepresented pathways that were common to both CAPER α /TBX3 and RAS data sets (Figure 7N–O', pie charts), but notably fewer pathways were shared in the upregulated group: JAK/STAT, TLR and TGF β signaling pathways were only significantly overrepresented in the CAPER α /TBX3 data set.

Discussion

Our knowledge of the regulatory mechanisms that govern the onset and maintenance of senescence in different contexts must be considered fragmentary (Wang and Chang, 2011; Fatima and Bozzoni, 2014). In this study, we provide compelling evidence for critical and novel functions of CAPER α , the lncRNA *UCA1* and TBX3 in the regulation of cell proliferation and senescence. We have discovered a CAPER α /TBX3 complex that is required to prevent senescence of primary human and mouse cells in




Figure 7. Disruption of the CAPER α /TBX3 repressor by OIS activates *CDKN2A-p16* and *UCA1* to trigger a senescence transcriptional response. (A) ChIP-PCR of regions upstream of the *CDKN2A-p16* transcriptional start site (position relative to TSS in parentheses) in PS and RAS HFFs; the --3706–3308 amplicon is a negative control. OIS disrupts binding of *p16* regulatory elements (initially identified in **Figure 30**) by TBX3 and CAPER α . (B) ChIP-PCR of *p16*-4855 element shown in A. Decreased TBX3 and CAPER α binding in RAS correlates with loss of repressive chromatin marks and gain of activating Figure 7. Continued on next page

Figure 7. Continued

marks. Evaluation of chromatin marks on the other *CDKN2A-p16* CAPER α /TBX3- responsive regulatory elements is shown in **Figure 7—figure supplement 1A**. **(C)** IBs for TBX3, CAPER α , and actin loading control show increased amount of both proteins in RAS compared to PS HFFs. **(D)** Anti-TBX3 and anti-CAPER α IBs of IP'd proteins from PS and RAS HFFs. **(F–M)** Immunocytochemical staining of PS **(F, G, J, K)** and RAS **(H, I, L, M)** HFFs for TBX3 **(F and H)**, Hoechst (DNA; **G and I**), CAPER α **(J and L)**. Panels **K and M** are merged Hoechst/CAPER α . Scale bar for all panels is shown at lower right of panel **I**. **(N–O')** Functional analyses of genome wide transcriptional profiles of TBX3 KD, CAPER α KD, and control HFFs. All comparisons were statistically significant with p values $\lllll 0.0001$; see **Figure 7—source data 3** for hypergeometric test, as implemented in the R statistical language, used to test significance of the number of genes found to be co-regulated between samples. **(N)** Venn diagrams show highly significant number of CAPER α /TBX3 co-upregulated transcripts (446 total), especially in the GO biologic process (BP) category of transcriptional regulation (122 transcripts) as assayed with DAVID. Pie chart shows KEGG pathway analysis of co-regulated genes. **(N')** Venn diagram showing 48 CAPER α /TBX3 co-upregulated transcripts also upregulated by RAS/OIS (Loayza-Puch et al., 2013), especially in BP categories of transcriptional regulation and programmed cell (pc) death. qPCR validation of coregulated genes is in **S. Figure 6A**. Pie chart shows KEGG pathway analysis of OIS dataset. **(O and O')** As in **N** and **N'** but for downregulated genes. Pie chart in **O'** shows KEGG pathway analysis of OIS data set; note most pathways are the same as in TBX3/CAPER α . **(P and Q)** Models of CAPER α /TBX3 repressor and *UCA1* function in proliferating (PS) HFFs vs RAS HFFs. In PS cell nuclei, CAPER α /TBX3 represses *UCA1*, *p16*, *p14*, and *DUSP4* promoters in heterochromatin which permits ongoing cell proliferation. RAS disrupts the CAPER α /TBX3 complex and CAPER α relocates to dense intranuclear foci. Pro-senescence genes including *UCA1* and *p16* are converted to euchromatin and their expression/products induce senescence. In the cytoplasm of PS cells, hnRNP A1 binds and destabilizes *p16* mRNA, but activation of *UCA1* expression in OIS allows *UCA1* to sequester hnRNP A1 and stabilize *p16* mRNA. TBX3, CAPER α = human; Tbx3, Capera = mouse.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.026](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.026)

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 7:

Source data 1. Differentially expressed genes after knockdown of CAPER α in HFFs detected by RNA-Seq.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.027](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.027)

Source data 2. Differentially expressed genes after knockdown of TBX3 in HFFs detected by RNA-Seq.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.028](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.028)

Source data 3. Determining the statistical significance of shared differentially expressed genes using the hypergeometric test, as implemented in the R statistical language (phyper).

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.029](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.029)

Figure supplement 1. Repression of *CDKN2A-p16* and *DUSP4* by CAPER α /TBX3 correlates with chromatin architecture and is relieved during oncogene induced senescence.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.030](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.030)

Figure supplement 2. CAPER α relocalization due to oncogene-induced senescence is independent of PML bodies.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.031](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.031)

Figure supplement 3. Validation of RNA-Seq identified expression changes induced by CAPER α and TBX3 KD.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.032](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.032)

Figure supplement 4. IL6 and HDAC9 are direct targets of CAPER α /TBX3.

DOI: [10.7554/eLife.02805.033](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02805.033)

vivo and that functions as a master regulator of cell proliferation by directly repressing transcription of lncRNA *UCA1*, *p16^{INK}* and other tumor suppressor genes (**Figure 7P**). Overexpression of *UCA1* occurs after loss of TBX3/CAPER α and in OIS (**Figure 7Q**), and is itself sufficient to induce senescence at least in part, by disrupting the interaction of *p16^{INK}* mRNA with hnRNP A1 leading to increased *p16^{INK}* mRNA stability (**Figure 7P,Q**). Disrupting the CAPER α /TBX3 complex by decreasing the amount of either TBX3 or CAPER α , or by CAPER α mislocalization during OIS, coordinately increases activity of multiple pro-senescence targets at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels in a reinforcing mechanism.

Increased CAPER α has been reported in human breast cancers and a shift from cytoplasmic to nuclear localization correlates with transition from pre-malignant to malignant lesions (Mercier et al., 2009). In contrast, CAPER α co-activates vRel mediated transcription but inhibits vREL transforming activity in vitro (Dutta et al., 2008). It is likely that anti- or pro- oncogenic activity of CAPER α is determined by cell type and the interacting protein(s) present in a given context; our results suggest that CAPER α has oncogenic potential in primary cells since loss of CAPER α /TBX3 induces premature senescence, a vital tumor suppressor mechanism. CAPER α binds to regulatory chromatin domains via TBX3 but dissociates from these domains and becomes concentrated in large intranuclear foci prior to senescence induced by loss of TBX3 or during OIS. Future efforts will define the composition of CAPER + nuclear foci and the role of this nuclear subdomain during senescence induction.

The TBX3 RD is required for TBX3 to interact with CAPER α (this study), immortalize primary fibroblasts and confer senescence bypass (Carlson et al., 2001). Since loss of CAPER α activates target gene transcription despite continued TBX3 occupancy, it is the CAPER α /TBX3 complex (interacting via

TBX3 RD) that represses pro-senescence target loci. It will be important to determine if previously identified targets of TBX3 transcriptional repression are actually regulated by this complex.

Additional studies are warranted to determine the precise mechanisms whereby histone status is regulated by CAPER α /TBX3: TBX3 is known to interact directly with HDACs (Yarosh *et al.*, 2008), but there are no reports of it or CAPER α interacting with histone methyltransferases or demethylases. Our recently published Mass Spec screen for Tbx3/TBX3 interactors did not identify such factors however, the screen cannot be considered exhaustive as we did not reproducibly detect HDACs or transcription factors previously reported to interact with Tbx3. Future studies to specifically determine whether TBX3 and/or CAPER α interact with, recruit, or modify the function of EZH2, SUV39 and other methyltransferases will be informative.

Previous studies showed that TBX3 represses transcription of *p14^{ARF}* (upstream of p53) (Bamshad *et al.*, 1997; Fan *et al.*, 2009; Kumar *et al.*, 2014), yet embryonic lethality and mammary phenotypes of *Tbx3* mutants are p53-independent (Jerome-Majewska *et al.*, 2005). Our findings reconcile these observations because CAPER α /TBX3 represses *p16^{INK}*, the p16/RB pathway is activated in *Tbx3*^{-/-} embryos, and knockdown of either RB or p16 (but not p53) prevents senescence after loss of CAPER α /TBX3. Furthermore, *Tbx3*^{-/-} and *Cdk2*^{-/-};*Cdk4*^{-/-} mutant embryos share multiple phenotypes including RB hypo-phosphorylation, reduced E2F-target gene expression, decreased proliferation and premature senescence of MEFs (Berthet *et al.*, 2012; Frank *et al.*, 2012, 2013). Our discoveries of multiple CAPER α /TBX3 binding sites across the *CDKN2A* locus, and altered chromatin marks after TBX3 and CAPER α KD, indicate that the complex directly represses transcription by regulating chromatin structure. In total, the data conclusively demonstrate that p16 elevation, *CDK2* and *CDK4* down-regulation, and RB hypophosphorylation mediate senescence downstream of CAPER α /TBX3 loss of function in primary human cells and *Tbx3* null mutant embryos. When combined with the pleiotropic effects of CAPER α /TBX3 on *UCA1*, *DUSP4*, *IL6*, *HDAC9* and other pathways, it is clear why loss of this repressor induces senescence.

TBX3 may function in nuclear organization and structure: severe changes in nuclear morphology and mislocalization of both CAPER α and lamin β 1 are apparent in *Tbx3*^{-/-} MEFs after just one passage, prior to other signs of senescence. Progeria is a rare disease in which *LMNA* mutations induce cellular and organismal senescence in part by altering stoichiometry and interactions of type A and B Lamins. Progeria fibroblasts have decreased expression of *TBX3*, *TBX3* interacting proteins, and *TBX3* targets (Csoka *et al.*, 2004). *LMN β 1* is a *TBX3* interacting protein (Kumar *et al.*, 2014) and expression of *LMNA*, *LMN β 1*, and *LMN β 2* is disrupted by *TBX3*/CAPER α KD (Figure 7—source data 1–3 and Figure 7—figure supplement 3). *TBX3* may regulate *LMN* gene expression and physically interact with Lamins to influence nuclear homeostasis.

There are many downregulated genes common to the senescence responses triggered by RAS^{G12V} and loss of CAPER α /TBX3 however, upregulated transcripts and pathways are largely distinct (Figure 7N). This is likely attributable to the presence of direct targets of CAPER α /TBX3 repression in the upregulated data set. It will be informative to determine which Jak-STAT, TLR, and TGF β pathway members (Figure 7N) are direct CAPER α /TBX3 targets, as the complex roles of these pathways in the senescence associated secretory phenotype, inducing or enforcing autocrine and paracrine senescence, and tumor progression are emerging (Hubackova *et al.*, 2010; Senturk *et al.*, 2010; Hubackova *et al.*, 2012; Davalos *et al.*, 2013).

Recent discoveries of the pervasive functions of lncRNAs as ‘signals, decoys, guides and scaffolds’ (Wang and Chang, 2011), conferred by their ability to interact with other nucleic acids and as protein ligands, has added new layers of complexity to regulation of transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene expression and translation. Although there has been a logarithmic increase in studies exploring lncRNA expression and activity, potential senescence-regulating activities are still largely unexplored. lncRNA *HOTAIR* functions as a scaffold to regulate ubiquitination of Ataxin-1 and Snurportin-1 to prevent premature senescence (Yoon *et al.*, 2013). Global alterations in lncRNA expression have been reported in association with replicative senescence (Abdelmohsen *et al.*, 2013), and telomere-specific lncRNAs that regulate telomere function during this process have been identified (Yu *et al.*, 2014). As this manuscript was in revision, regulation of H4K20 trimethylation of rRNA genes by interaction of quiescence-induced lncRNAs *PAPAS* and *Suv4-20h2* was reported (Bierhoff *et al.*, 2014). To our knowledge, *UCA1* is the first lncRNA sufficient to induce senescence.

UCA1 is expressed in bladder transitional cell carcinomas (Wang *et al.*, 2006) and influences tumorigenic potential of bladder cancer cell lines (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Yang *et al.*, 2012). A very recent

study identified hnRNP I as a *UCA1* interacting protein that stabilizes *UCA1* RNA; this interaction was postulated to decrease translation of p27 to support growth of the MCF7 breast cancer line (**Huang et al., 2014**). In contrast, our results support a tumor suppressor/prosenescence function for *UCA1* in primary cells. *UCA1* increases stability of *p16^{INK}* mRNA by sequestering hnRNP A1, employing a decoy mechanism that is in some aspects reminiscent of lncRNA *PANDA* sequestering NF-YA transcription factor to prevent activation of proapoptotic p53 targets and promote cell cycle arrest in the DNA damage response (**Wang and Chang, 2011**). In the case of *UCA1* and hnRNP A1 however, the sequestration has a very specific effect: even though *UCA1* expression stabilizes (and hnRNP A1 destabilizes) both *p16^{INK}* and *p14^{ARF}* mRNAs (**Figure 6A,B**), *UCA1* only disrupts the association of hnRNP A1 with *p16^{INK}* mRNA (**Figure 6C** and **Figure 6—figure supplement 4**). In proliferating cells, abundant hnRNP A1 binds with *p16^{INK}* mRNA resulting in *p16^{INK}* degradation. In senescing cells, *p16^{INK}* mRNA levels increase via reinforcing mechanisms of increased transcription and stability: loss of CAPER α /TBX3 activates transcription of *p16^{INK}* and *UCA1*, in turn, *UCA1* sequesters hnRNP A1.

We recognize that the systems we employed (primary HFFs, mouse embryos and MEFs), while very informative models, provide limited information directly applicable to aging or tumorigenesis without further experimentation. Our data support an important role for CAPER α /TBX3 in regulation of senescence in developmental contexts and, since the CAPER α /TBX3 complex regulates known critical tumor suppressors and there is an increasing literature supporting roles for both TBX3 and CAPER α in tumor biology, this is another worthy area for future investigation. As noted above, expression of *CDKN2A-p14^{ARF}* and *CDKN1A-p21^{CIP}* are repressed by TBX2 and TBX3 and this is postulated to confer the ability of overexpressed TBX2 and TBX3 to permit senescence bypass of *Bmi1*^{-/-} and SV40 transformed mouse embryonic fibroblasts, respectively (**Jacobs et al., 2000; Brummelkamp et al., 2002; Prince et al., 2004**). Numerous overexpression studies have suggested a role for TBX3 in breast cancer (**Liu et al., 2011**) and references therein) and recent papers have reported the tumorigenic and proinvasive effects of overexpressed TBX3 in melanoma cells (**Peres et al., 2010; Peres and Prince, 2013**) which may derive in part from TBX3 repression of E-cadherin expression (**Rodriguez et al., 2008**). More relevant to our work on the importance of the CAPER α /TBX3 complex to prevent senescence and regulate cell proliferation are reports that Tbx3 improves the pluripotency of iPS cells (**Han et al., 2010**) and prevents differentiation of mouse ES cells (**Ivanova et al., 2006**).

In conclusion, CAPER α /TBX3 acts as a master regulator of cell growth and fate, exerting pleiotropic effects by at least two modes of action: (1) regulating chromatin structure and transcription of both coding and non-coding genes and, (2) modulating mRNA stability by altering the association of RNA binding proteins with target transcripts via *UCA1*. Further exploration will identify tissue-specific *UCA1* targets and binding proteins, and determine whether the ability of TBX3 to confer senescence bypass in other contexts requires CAPER α interaction and/or *UCA1* repression. Mining the pathways regulated by *UCA1* and CAPER α /TBX3 will reveal factors that control cell proliferation and fate during development and disease and thus constitute novel cancer therapeutic targets.

Material and methods

Mass spectroscopy

Mass spectroscopy as in **Kumar et al., (2014)**

Protein extraction and immunoprecipitation

Dignam lysates were prepared and incubated for 4 hr at 4°C with the appropriate antibody followed by 2 hr at 4°C with the pre equilibrated Dynabeads Protein G (Invitrogen). Immune complexes were collected and washed three times with lysis buffer. Pelleted beads were resuspended in 6X Laemmli buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis followed by immunoblotting with specific antibodies.

Input lanes contain 5% of protein lysate used for IP; the rest was used in the IP and of the IP'd material, 25% was loaded onto the gel for immunoblotting.

Antibodies

Tbx3 (**Frank et al., 2012, 2013**), TBX3 (SC-17871, MAB10089, A303-098A), CAPER α (A300-291A), GST (SC-33613), LaminB1 (SC-56144), C-Myc (SC-40), R-IgG (SC-2027), m-IgG (SC-2025), Anti-Flag (Sigma, F3165), H3K9me3 (Cell Signaling, 9754), H3K4me3 (Cell Signaling, 9751), H3K27me3 (Cell Signaling, 9733), H3K9ace (Cell Signaling, 9649), H4K5ace (Cell Signaling, 9672), H3K14ace (Cell Signaling, 4353), p-RB -Ser 810--811 (SC-16670), p-RB -Ser 795 (SC-7986), p-RB -Ser 780 (SC-12901), Rb1

(SC-73598), H3S10P (SC-8656), H2A K119ub (8240S), p21 (SC-756), p53 (Invitrogen 134100), Cyclin D1 (SC-753), Cyclin D2 (SC-754), Cyclin D3 (SC-755), Cyclin E (SC-20648), CDK2 (SC-6248), CDK4 (SC-601) CDK6 (SC-177), hnRNP K (SC-53620), hnRNP C1/C2 (SC-32308), hnRNP H (SC-10042), hnRNP U (SC-32315), hnRNP A2/B1 (SC-53531), hnRNP A1 (SC-32301), and hnRNP D1 (AB-61193).

MBP pull down assay

Amylose bound MBP and MBP-tagged TBX3 affinity columns were prepared as per the procedure (E8022S, NEB) described in the manufacturer's protocol. These beads were incubated with 5 and 10 μ g of GST and GST-CAPER at 4°C for 8 hr. Bound proteins were eluted with reduced glutathione and analyzed by Western blotting with anti-CAPER antibody.

Cell transfection

Transfections were performed in HEK293 or EBNA-293 cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or in Human fibroblasts with X-tremeGENE HP DNA transfection Reagent (Roche) as per the manufacturer's recommendations.

Plasmids

Wild-type Tbx3 and exon 7 missense, deleted repressor domain (Tbx3 Δ RD1), and Tbx3 Δ NLS were generated by PCR amplification and cloned into pcDNA3.1. C-terminal deletion constructs Tbx3 1-655, Tbx3 1-623, Tbx3 1-565, Tbx3 1-470 were generated by PCR amplification and cloned into pCS2 with an N-terminal Myc tag. Tbx3 L143P and N277D point mutants were kind gifts of Phil Barnett. UCA1 and CAPER α cDNAs were cloned into pCDN3.1 and pQCXIH for over-expression studies, respectively. Sequence of all plasmids was confirmed. Tbx3 L143P and N277D point mutants plasmids were kind gifts of Phil Barnett. Wild-type CAPER α was generated by PCR amplification and then cloned into pQCXIH retroviral vector; sequence was confirmed. Full length UCA1 was amplified by PCR and then cloned into pcDNA3.1 vector; sequence was confirmed.

UCA1 Cloning FP: AGTTGCGGCCGCTGACATTCTCTGGACAATGAG

UCA1 Cloning RP: TCCTGCGGCCGCTTGGCATATTAGCTTTAATGTAG

CAPER α Cloning FP: CATCGCGGCCGATGGCAGACGATATTGATATTG

CAPER α Cloning RP: ACGTGGATCCTCATCGTCTACTTGGAAACCAGTAG

Immunofluorescence

E10.5 embryos were harvested in PBS followed by overnight fixation at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde and processed for 7 μ m cryosections. For cell lines, human fibroblasts were cultured on 8-well chamber slides (BD Flacon) and processed for Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry was performed using primary antibodies listed above and detected using donkey anti-goat or anti-rabbit Alexa fluor 594 (1:500) and goat anti-mouse Alexa fluor 488(1:500) from Invitrogen. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst or DAPI. Slides were imaged with a Nikon ARI inverted confocal microscope at the University of Utah Imaging Core.

Retroviral transduction and selection of stable cells

shRNA oligonucleotides (see sequences below) were annealed and cloned into the pGFP-B-RS, pRFP-C-RS (Origen) vector and PMK0.1 vector. shRNA against luciferase served as a negative control. High-titer retrovirus was produced by transfection of shRNA retroviral construct along with gag/pol and VSVG encoding plasmids into EBNA-293 cells by lipofectamine 2000 reagent as per the manufacturer's protocol. Virus containing supernatant was collected after 48 hr of transfection and filtered through 0.45- μ m filters (Fisher 09-720-4). HEK293 or HFFs were incubated with DMEM containing polybrene (8 mM) and 500 μ l of TBX3 or CAPER α shRNA encoding retrovirus. 24 hr post infection, cells were split to lower densities and blasticidin or puromycin antibiotic selection applied for 2 days. Stably integrated colonies were selected and analyzed for knock down efficiency by western analysis using Tbx3 or CAPER α antibody.

TBX3 shRNA A: targets TBX3 exon 7

TBX3 shA FP: CCGG GACCATGGAGCCCGAAGAA ttaagaga TTCTTCGGGCTCCATGGTC TTTTTC

TBX3 shA RP: AATTCAAAAA GACCATGGAGCCCGAAGAA tctctttaa TTCTTCGGGCTCCATGGTC

TBX3 shRNA B: targets TBX3 exon 5

TBX3 shB FP: CCGG CAGCTCACCTGCAGTCCA ttaagaga TGGACTGCAGGGTGAGCTG TTTTTC

TBX3 shB RP: AATTCAAAAA CAGCTCACCTGCAGTCCA tctctttaa TGGACTGCAGGGTGAGCTG

CAPER α shRNA A: targets CAPER α (gene name *RBM39*) exon 5

CAPER α shA FP: CCGG GACAGAAATTCAGACGTTtcaagagaAACGTCTTGAATTTCTGTCTTTTTG

CAPER shA RP: AATTCAAAAA GACAGAAATTCAGACGTT tctcttgaa AACGTCTTGAATTTCTGTCT

CAPER α shRNA B: targets CAPER α exon 1

CAPER shB P:CCGG AAAGCAAGAGCAGAAGTCGTAAttcaagagaTACGACTTCTGCTCTTGCTTT
TTTTTG

CAPER shB RP: AATTCAAAAA AAAGCAAGAGCAGAAGTCGTA tctcttgaa TACGACTTCTGCTCT
TGCTTT

The pMKo.1 puro RB and pMKo.1 puro p53 shRNA vectors were a kind gift of William Hahn obtained via Addgene.

pRB shRNA: Addgene #10670

p53 shRNA: Addgene #10672

p16 shRNA: Addgene #22271

Efficacy and specificity of the pRb, p53, and p16 shRNAs was validated with second shRNAs, and these reagents have been used extensively by many investigators in the years since their initial publication (*Masutomi et al., 2003; Stewart et al., 2003; Boehm et al., 2005; Haga et al., 2007; Hong et al., 2009; Elzi et al., 2012*).

UCA1 shRNA: targets UCA1 exon 3

UCA1 shA FP:

GATCCGTTAATCCAGGAGACAAAGAtcaagagTCTTTGTCTCTCTGGATTAACTTTTTTGGA

UCA1 shA RP:

AGCTTCCAAAAAAGTTAATCCAGGAGACAAAGActcttgaTCTTTGTCTCTCTGGATTAACG

Senescence associated β -galactosidase assay

Performed as per the manufacturer's protocol (9860, Cell Signaling).

Population doubling assay/3T5 growth curves (**Figure 2E,F,R**)

Primary HFFs were plated in a 10-cm dish and transduced with retrovirus. After 24 hr, cells were cultured with antibiotic selection (puromycin or blasticidin) for an additional 24–72 hr. On day 0 of the 3T5 growth curve, cells were trypsinized, counted and 500,000 cells were then plated per 10-cm dish. This procedure was repeated every 3 days for 15 days. Population doublings were calculated by $(\log N1/\log 2) - (\log N0/\log 2)$ N1 = current cell count, N0 = Initial cell count. Curves shown in **Figure 2** are representative of two independent experiments.

Cell count (**Figure 5C**)

Primary HFFs were plated in 6-well dishes and transfected at 70% confluence. At days noted in the figure, cells were trypsinized and counted using a hemocytometer.

Crystal violet assay/optical density method of cell quantitation

5×10^5 cells were plated per well in 6-well tissue culture plates. At times indicated, medium was removed and cells were washed with PBS, and fixed for 10 min in 10% formalin solution. Cells were rinsed 5X with distilled water, and then stained with 100 μ l 0.1% crystal violet solution for 30 min, rinsed 5X in water and dried. Cell-associated crystal violet dye was extracted with 500 μ l of 10% acetic acid. Aliquots were collected and optical density at 590 nm measured. Each point on the curve shown represents three independent plates.

Senescence marker gene expression in TBX3 and CAPER α KD fibroblasts

Primary HFFs were incubated with TBX3 or CAPER α or Control shRNA encoding retrovirus medium with fresh virus added every 8 hr for 48 hr, followed by antibiotic selection for 6 days. 6 days after selection, floating cells were discarded and adherent cells were utilized for senescence associated β -gal assay or preparation of RNA.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription-PCR analysis

Total RNA was prepared using the RNeasy RNA isolation kit (Qiagen) or NucleoSpin RNA II Kit (Clontech) and cDNA was synthesized by cDNA EcoDry Premix Double Primed (Clontech) kit. Q-RT-PCR was performed with SoFast Evagreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) as per manufacturer's protocol.

RT-PCR primer sequences

TBX3: TGAGGCCTTTGAAGACCATG, TCAGCAGCTATAATGTCCATC
CAPER α : CGGAACAGGCGTTTAGAGAA, TGGCACTGCTCAACTTGTTT
CDK2: GCTTTCTGCCATTCTCATCG, GTCCCCAGAGTCCGAAAGAT
CDK4: ACGGGTGTAAAGTCCATCTG, TGGTGTGGTGCCTATGGGA
P21: TCAGAGGAGGCGCCATGT, TGTCCACTGGGCGGAAGA
CDC2: GGGGATTCAGAAATTGATCA, TGTCAGAAAGCTACATCTTC
MDM2: ACCTCACAGATTCCAGCTTCG, TTTCATAGTATAAGTGTCTTTTT
MAPK14: TTCTGTTGATCCCCTTCACTGT, ACACACATGCACACACACTAAC
CDKN2C: CAATGGCTCAGTTTTGCTGAATAA, GTAAGATCTGCCTGCCAAAAGC
CDKN2B: AACGGAGTCAACCGTTTCGG, TGTGCGCAGGTACCCTGCA
P16: CAACGCACCGAATAGTTACG, AGCACCACCAGCGTGTC
Serp1E1: CCGGAACAGCCTGAAGAAGTG, GTGTTTCAGCAGGTGGCGC
P14ARF: CCCTCGTGCTGATGCTACTG, ACCTGGTCTTCTAGGAAGCGG
MCM3: CCTTCCCTCCAGCTCTGTC, CTCCTGGATGGTATGGTCT
TGF β : AAGGACCTCGGCTGGAAGTG, CCCGGGTTATGCTGGTTGTA
EGR1: CCAGGAGCGATGAACGCAAGCGGCATACCAAG, GGAGTACGTGGTGGCCACCGACGG
GGACCC
E2F1: ATGTTTTCTGTGCCCTGAG, ATCTGTGGTGAGGGATGAGG
E2F2: GGCCAAGAACAACATCCAGT, TGTCCTCAGTCAGGTGCTTG
IL6R: CATTGCCATTGTTCTGAGGTTT, AGTAGTCTGTATTGCTGATGTC
GSK3 β : ACTCCACCGGAGGCAATTG, GCACAAGCTTCCAGTGGTGTT
UCA1: GAAATGGACAACAGTACACGCATATGGGGC, CCTGTTGCTAAGCCGATGATACATTACCTC
HPRT: GCTGGTGAAAAGGACCTCT, CACAGGACTAGAACACCTGC
PCNA: AAGAGAGTGGAGTGGCTTTTTG, TGTCGATAAAGAGGAGGAAGC
CHK2: CTTATGTGGAACCCCCACCTAC, CAGCACGGTTATACCCAGCA
PMAIP1: GTTTTTGCCGAAGATTACCG, CAATGTGCTGAGTTGGCACT
MYC: CTCCCTCCACTCGGAAGGA, GCATTTTCGGTTGTTGCTGAT
CDKN2D: CAACCGCTTCGGCAAGAC, CAGGGTGTCCAGGAATCCA
P53: CCTCACCATCATCACACTGG, TCTGAGTCAGGCCCTTCTGT
RB: TGTGAACATCGAATCATGGAA, TCAGTTGGTGGTTCTCGGT
CXCL10: GAAATTATTCCTGCAAGCCAATTT, TCACCCTTCTTTTTCATGTAGCA
IFN β : GAATGGGAGGCTTGAATACTGCCT, TAGCAAAGATGTTCTGGAGCATCTC
ATF3: GTTTGAGGATTTTGCTAACCTGAC, AGCTGCAATCTTATTTCTTCTCGT
DUSP2: GGCCTTTGACTTCGTTAAGC, CCACCTCAGTGACACAGCAC
CREB5: CGTGCCTCCTTGAACAAGCCATT, ATGAAACACCAGCACCTGCCTAGA
HDAC9: AGTGTGAGACGCAGACGCTTAG, TTTGCTGTGCGATTTGTTCTTT
SP140: TGGGTCAGTTTCTGTTTATCTGC, AGCAGGCTAGAAGCAAGCTC
EGR2: TTGGTGCCTTGTGTGATGTAGAC, CTTTCCATAAGGCAACCCATT
HMGA2: GTCCCTCTAAAGCAGCTCAAAA, CTCCCTTCAAAAGATCCAACCTG
BIRC5: CATGGTAGGTGCAGGTGATG, CATGGTAGGTGCAGGTGATG
ASF1: GGTTTCGAGATCAGCTTCGAG, CATGGTAGGTGCAGGTGATG
WDR66: CCGAGAAGCAACAGGAGAAA, CTGTGTCTCCAAACGGATCA
CDC25C: GACACCCAGAAGAGAATAATCATC, CGACACCTCAGCAACTCAG
CENPF: CGAAGAACAACCATGGCAACTCG, TTCTCGGAGGATGGTGCCTGAAT
LAMA2: AATTTACCTCCGCTCGCTAT, CCTCCAATGTACTTTCCACG
LMNB1: AAGCAGCTGGAGTGGTTGTT, TTGGATGCTCTTGGGGTTC
LMNB2: GCTCTGACCAGAACGACAAGG, CCAGCATCTTCCGGAACCTG
CDC20: TCCAAGGTTCCAGACCACTCC, GATCCAGGCCACAGACCATA
DUSP5: GCTCGCTCAACGTCAACCTCAACTCGGTG, AGTGGCGGCTGCCCTGGTCCAGCACCACC
DUSP4: CCTGGCAGCCATCCCACCCCGTTCCCC, GCTGATGCCAGGGCGTCCAGCATGTCTCTC
mTbx3: TGAGGCCTCTGAAGACCATG, TCAGCAGCTATAATGTCCATC
mSerp1E1: AGCCAACAAGAGCCAATCAC, GGATTCTCGGAGGGGTAAAG
mIL6: GATGGATGCTACCAAACCTGGA, CCAGGTAGCTATGGTACTCCAGAA
mP21: TCCACAGCGATATCCAGACA, GGCACACTTTGCTCCTGTG

mCdc2: CTGCAATTCGGGAAATCTCT, TCCATGGACAGGAACTCAA
 mReprimo: CTTACGGACCTGGGACTTTG, CCAGCACTGAATTCATCACG

MEF isolation from WT and *Tbx3* null embryos

All steps were performed under aseptic conditions. Pregnant female mice were euthanized and 13.5-day-old embryos were isolated from the uterus. Embryos were washed in sterile PBS in 60-mm tissue culture dish at room temperature and transferred into 15-ml sterile falcon tube containing 1 ml of 50% trypsin in DMEM medium. Embryos were minced using fine scissors followed by gentle pipetting with 1 ml pipette tips and dispersed into cell suspensions in 5 min. Suspensions were plated into 10-cm plates in 10 ml of DMEM with 5% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin and incubated for 8 hr in CO₂ incubator. Culture medium was replaced with fresh medium every day for 3 days. Passage 0 refers to the stage when cell suspension from the embryos was put into cell culture and subsequent passages are numbered.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

Performed as per the manufacturer's protocol (9003S, Cell Signaling).

ChIP primers

UCA1 FP1: GGCTCTCGAGTCAAGATAATCACTTAC
 UCA1 RP1: GGCACATCTTTGTTGTCTGAAAGGGAT
 UCA1 FP2: CACCTCTTTCTTGCCTCCTTGGATATATT
 UCA1 RP2: CACTTACTTACTTATAATAGAGTCAGGGTCT
 UCA1 FP3: CCAGGAGCTGATATTCATGACCCTCCA
 UCA1 RP3: CTTGGCTCCTGTAGGCCACCTGGACAT
 DUSP4 FP: CGAGGGCACCGGTACCCGCCGGGTCTCTCC
 DUSP4 RP: GGAAGGAGGTGAGCACAAGCCTTGAGCGC
 P16 1A FP: CGACCGTAACTATTCGGTGCGTTGGGCAGC
 P16 1A RP: GCTCTGGCGAGGGCTGCTTCCGGCTGGTGC
 P16 2A FP: GAGCAGGACGCGGTGGCTCACACCTGTAAT
 P16 2A RP: CAGGCATGCGCCACCAAGCCCCGCTAATT
 P16 3A FP: CCTCGGGGTACCTCTCAATTAGCTGTGTA
 P16 3A RP: AGTTGAGACAAGCCTAGCCAACATAGTG
 P16 4A FP: GAAACTCTACCATGGATTCTACATCAAG
 P16 4A RP: GCACAATGTGCAGGTTTGTACATATGTAT
 P16 5A FP: CCAGTCTCAGATTTCTATGTGCAAAATG
 P16 5A RP: GGTTTGAACCCTGGCAGTCTGACTGTAG
 P16 6A FP: GCGGTGGTTATAGATTTTGTCAACAAGAG
 P16 6A RP: ACTCTGGAACACTACCTTCTCAAGTATC
 P16 7A FP: ACCCCGATTCAATTTGGCAG
 P16 7A RP: AAAAAGAAATCCGCCCCCG
 P14ARF: FP: GCCGAATCCGGAGGGTCACCAAGAACCTGC
 P14ARF: RP: GTGCGCAGGGCTCAGAGCCGTTCCGAGATCT
 CDK2 FP: GATGGAACGCAGTATACCTCTC
 CDK2 RP: AAAGCAGGTAAGTGGGAAGAGTG
 CDK4 FP: GTGGACCGAAAAGGTGACAGGATC
 CDK4 RP: GGGCGGGGCGAACGCCGGACGTTT
 P21 -324 to -676 FP: CCCGGAAGCATGTGACAATC
 P21 -324 to -676 RP: CAGCACTGTTAGAATGAGCC
 P21 -677 to -981 FP: GGAGGCAAAAGTCCTGTGTTC
 P21 -677 to -981 RP: GGAAGGAGGGAATTGGAGAG
 P21 -964 to -1340 FP: CTGAGCAGCCTGAGATGTCAG
 P21 -964 to -1340 RP: CACAGGACTTTTGCCTCCTG
 P21 -1335 to -1688 FP: GAAATGCCTGAAAGCAGAGG
 P21 -1335 to -1688 RP: GCTCAGAGTCTGGAAATCTC
 CDKN1B FP: CGGCCGTTTGGCTAGTTTGTGTTGT
 CDKN1B RP: GGAGGCTGACGAAGAAGAAGATGA

HDAC9CHIPFP: GGCTCAGGCCGACCATTGTTCTATTTCTGT
 HDAC9CHIPRP: CCTGAGGAGAAGCAGCAGAGGATCAAC
 IL6CHIPFP: GAACCAAGTGGGCTTCAGTAATTTTCAGG
 IL6CHIPRP: CATCTGAGTTCTTCTGTGTTCTGGCTCTC
 P14ARF FP: CCCTCGTGCTGATGCTACTG
 P14ARF RP: ACCTGGTCTTCTAGGAAGCGG
 TGFB1 FP: GATGGCACAGTGGTCAAGAGC
 TGFB1 RP: GAAGGATGGAAGGGTCAGGAG
 RB FP: GCGGGAAGTGACGTTTTTC
 RB RP: CCGACTCCCGTTACAAAAAT
 MYC FP: AAGATCCTCTCTCGCTAATCTCC
 MYC RP: AGAAGCCCTGCCCTTCTC
 E2F1 FP: GGCTACAGGTGAGGGTCACG
 E2F1 RP: GAGCGCCGCCACAATTGGCT
 CDKN2D FP: TCCCTTTCTTACGGTGCTT
 CDKN2D RP: GCGTCGCTCCTGATTGGTC
 CDK2 FP : AAGCAGGTA CTGGGAAGAGTGTTCAGC
 CDK2 RP: CAACTTGAAACAATGTTGCCGCCTCC
 MDM2 FP: GGCTACCCAAAGTGATGGGATTACAAG
 MDM2 RP: GCCGCTGGAGTTGTACCCAAATGAGTTA

siRNA knockdown

For differential display (**Figure 4**), HEK293 cells were transfected with control siRNAs (Sense; 5'-CAGCGACUAAACACAUCA-3' Antisense; 5'-UUGAUGUGUUUAGUCGUGTT-3') or TBX3 specific siRNA A (Sense: GACCAUGGAGCCCGAAGAA, Antisense: UUCUUCGGGCUCCAUGGU) or CAPER α -specific siRNA (Sense: GACAGAAUUC AAGACGUU, Antisense: AACGUCUUGAAUUCUGUC) using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or X-treme GENE HP DNA transfection reagent as per manufacturer's instructions.

HNRNP A1 siRNA for knockdown in HFFs (**Figure 6**) was obtained from Cell Signaling (cat. #7668).

Oncogene-induced senescence with constitutively active RAS

^{V12G}RAS virus was produced with pBABE-^{V12G}RAS as per the procedure described above. HFFs were transduced with RAS virus and incubated with antibiotic selection medium (puromycin 2 μ g/ml) for 4–5 days.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) and RIP-PCR

For RNA immunoprecipitation, 10 million cells were lysed in 1 ml of NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40 and Protease inhibitor cocktail). Lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 12,000 RCF for 15 min. Cleared lysate was immunoprecipitated independently with 5 μ g of anti-hnRNP A1, anti-hnRNP D, Anti-hnRNP A2/B1, Anti-hnRNP C1/C2, Anti-hnRNP K, mIgG and R-IgG antibodies. Immune complexes were incubated with 30 μ l of pre-equilibrated Dynabeads for 4 hr at 4°C. Dynabead purified immune complexes were subjected to Proteinase K digestion at 37°C for 1 hr followed by NucleoSpin RNA II purification kit and cDNA was prepared by RNA-to-cDNA EcoDry Premix kit (Clontech). cDNA was used as a template in PCR amplifications with gene specific primers.

mRNA stability assays

TBX3, CAPER α , or Control shRNA KD, PS and RAS HFFs were cultured in 6-well culture dishes for 2 days to 80% confluence. Then Actinomycin D was added to a final concentration of 5 mg/ml to suppress transcription. At 0, 1, 2, and 4 hr after addition of Actinomycin D, equal numbers of cells were harvested from each sample and mRNA was prepared by nucleoSpin RNA II purification kit and cDNA was prepared by RNA-to-cDNA EcoDry Premix kit (Clontech) followed by qRT-PCR for specific transcripts.

P16^{INK} mRNA northern blot

HFFs were transfected with pcDNA3.1 control or *UCA1* expression plasmids as described above, incubated +/- Actinomycin D, and total cellular RNA was harvested at 0, 1, 2, and 4 hr post treatment. For

northern blot analysis, 5 µg total RNA from each time point was electrophoresed through a 1% agarose gel. The RNA was blotted onto Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham Pharmacia), and membranes were UV crosslinked. Membranes were hybridized for 18 hr with (Torres *et al.*, 2003) P-labeled probes. Probes were generated by end-labeling DNA oligonucleotides containing following sequences complementary to *p16^{INK}* mRNA:

1. 5' GAGGAGGTGCTATTA ACTCCGAGCATTAGCGAATGTGGC
2. 5' AATCCTCTGGAGGGACCGCGGTATCTTTCCAGCAAGGGG
3. 5'AAGGCTCCATGCTGCTCCCGCCGCGGCTCCATGCTGCT

End-labeling reactions were performed using T4 polynucleotide Kinase (NEB) according to the manufacturer's directions. The hybridized blots were washed, and autoradiographs were developed as per standard procedure. Band intensities were measured by Image J analysis, and densitometric values were plotted as bar graphs.

RNA-Seq analysis of TBX3 and CAPER α KD HFFs

HFFs were incubated with TBX3 or CAPER α shRNA encoding retrovirus for 48 hr followed by incubation for an additional 48 hr in selection medium. Total RNA was isolated and purity was assessed. Poly-A RNA was purified, fragmented, primed with random hexamers and used to generate first strand cDNA using reverse transcriptase. Samples that passed quality control steps were used for Illumina library preparation using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Prep protocol. All libraries were sequenced (with barcoding) on a single lane of an Illumina HiSeq instrument for 50 cycles from a single end. A total of 177,155,781 reads were produced in total for all 10 libraries (median 17,348,374 reads). Base calling was performed using Illumina software.

Bioinformatics analysis

Sequence reads were aligned (98.5% mapped) to the human genome build 37.2 with Tophat (v2.0.8b) using default parameters. Aligned reads were assembled into transcripts and their relative abundance was measured using Cufflinks (v2.1.1) with fragment bias correction (frag-bias-correct) and multi-read correction (multi-read-correct). Cufflinks transcript assemblies were based on transcripts of NCBI Homo sapiens annotation release 104 and miRBase release 19 as provided in the Illumina iGenomes data set. Cuffdiff was used to test for differential expression between samples and controls and expression differences were taken as significant if the FDR adjusted p-value was less than 0.05 (Source Data Files 1 and 2). Statistically overrepresented gene ontology/biologic process categories and KEGG pathways were determined using DAVID (Huang *da et al.*, 2009a, 2009b). The hypergeometric test, as implemented in the R statistical language (phyper), was used to test significance of the number of genes found to be co-regulated between samples (Figure 7—source data 3).

Acknowledgements

We thank J Michael Dean and Thomas Vondriska for their support of Drs Kumar and Franklin, respectively. We thank Nikos Tapinos, David Carey, Alana Welm, Kirk Thomas, and Ashley Firment for critical reading of the manuscript. Phillip Barnett kindly provided the Tbx3 DBD mutant plasmids.

Additional information

Funding

No external funding was received.

Author contributions

PKP, AMM, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article, Contributed unpublished essential data or reagents; UE, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Contributed unpublished essential data or reagents; RS, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Contributed unpublished essential data or reagents; SF, BM, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data; MY, Bioinformatics, Analysis and interpretation of data, Contributed unpublished essential data or reagents; SLL, Training, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data

References

- Abdelmohsen K**, Panda A, Kang MJ, Xu J, Selimyan R, Yoon JH, Martindale JL, De S, Wood WH III, Becker KG, Gorospe M. 2013. Senescence-associated lncRNAs: senescence-associated long noncoding RNAs. *Aging Cell* **12**:890–900. doi: [10.1111/acer.12115](https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.12115).
- Baksh S**, Widlund HR, Frazer-Abel AA, Du J, Fosmire S, Fisher DE, DeCaprio JA, Modiano JF, Burakoff SJ. 2002. NFATc2-mediated repression of cyclin-dependent kinase 4 expression. *Molecular Cell* **10**:1071–1081. doi: [10.1016/S1097-2765\(02\)00701-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(02)00701-3).
- Bamshad M**, Le T, Watkins WS, Dixon ME, Kramer BE, Roeder AD, Carey JC, Root S, Schinzel A, Van Maldergem L, Gardner RJ, Lin RC, Seidman CE, Seidman JG, Wallerstein R, Moran E, Sutphen R, Campbell CE, Jorde LB. 1999. The spectrum of mutations in TBX3: genotype/phenotype relationship in ulnar-mammary syndrome. *American Journal of Human Genetics* **64**:1550–1562. doi: [10.1086/302417](https://doi.org/10.1086/302417).
- Bamshad M**, Lin RC, Law DJ, Watkins WC, Krakowiak PA, Moore ME, Franceschini P, Lala R, Holmes LB, Gebuhr TC, Bruneau BG, Schinzel A, Seidman JG, Seidman CE, Jorde LB. 1997. Mutations in human TBX3 alter limb, apocrine and genital development in ulnar-mammary syndrome. *Nature Genetics* **16**:311–315. doi: [10.1038/ng0797-311](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0797-311).
- Berthet C**, Klarmann KD, Hilton MB, Suh HC, Keller JR, Kiyokawa H, Kaldis P. 2006. Combined loss of Cdk2 and Cdk4 results in embryonic lethality and Rb hypophosphorylation. *Developmental Cell* **10**:563–573. doi: [10.1016/j.devcel.2006.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.03.004).
- Bierhoff H**, Dammert MA, Brocks D, Dambacher S, Schotta G, Grummt I. 2014. Quiescence-induced lncRNAs trigger H4K20 trimethylation and transcriptional silencing. *Molecular Cell* **54**:675–682. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.032).
- Boehm JS**, Hession MT, Bulmer SE, Hahn WC. 2005. Transformation of human and murine fibroblasts without viral oncoproteins. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **25**:6464–6474. doi: [10.1128/MCB.25.15.6464-6474.2005](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.15.6464-6474.2005).
- Brummelkamp TR**, Kortlever RM, Lingbeek M, Trettel F, MacDonald ME, van Lohuizen M, Bernards R. 2002. TBX-3, the gene mutated in ulnar-mammary syndrome, is a negative regulator of p19ARF and inhibits senescence. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**:6567–6572. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M110492200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110492200).
- Carlson H**, Ota S, Campbell CE, Hurlin PJ. 2001. A dominant repression domain in Tbx3 mediates transcriptional repression and cell immortalization: relevance to mutations in Tbx3 that cause ulnar-mammary syndrome. *Human Molecular Genetics* **10**:2403–2413. doi: [10.1093/hmg/10.21.2403](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/10.21.2403).
- Chang N**, Yi J, Guo G, Liu X, Shang Y, Tong T, Cui Q, Zhan M, Gorospe M, Wang W. 2010. HuR uses AUF1 as a cofactor to promote p16INK4 mRNA decay. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **30**:3875–3886. doi: [10.1128/MCB.00169-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00169-10).
- Coll M**, Seidman JG, Muller CW. 2002. Structure of the DNA-bound T-box domain of human TBX3, a transcription factor responsible for ulnar-mammary syndrome. *Structure* **10**:343–356. doi: [10.1016/S0969-2126\(02\)00722-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126(02)00722-0).
- Coppé JP**, Rodier F, Patil CK, Freund A, Desprez PY, Campisi J. 2011. Tumor suppressor and aging biomarker p16(INK4a) induces cellular senescence without the associated inflammatory secretory phenotype. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **286**:36396–36403. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M111.257071](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.257071).
- Csoka AB**, English SB, Simkevich CP, Ginzinger DG, Butte AJ, Schatten GP, Rothman FG, Sedivy JM. 2004. Genome-scale expression profiling of Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome reveals widespread transcriptional misregulation leading to mesodermal/mesenchymal defects and accelerated atherosclerosis. *Aging Cell* **3**:235–243. doi: [10.1111/j.1474-9728.2004.00105.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9728.2004.00105.x).
- Davalos AR**, Kawahara M, Malhotra GK, Schaum N, Huang J, Ved U, Beausejour CM, Coppe JP, Rodier F, Campisi J. 2013. p53-dependent release of Alarmin HMGB1 is a central mediator of senescent phenotypes. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **201**:613–629. doi: [10.1083/jcb.201206006](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201206006).
- DeGregori J**. 2004. The Rb network. *Journal of Cell Science* **117**:3411–3413. doi: [10.1242/jcs.01189](https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01189).
- Douglas NC**, Papaioannou VE. 2013. The t-box transcription factors TBX2 and TBX3 in mammary gland development and breast Cancer. *Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia* **18**:143–147. doi: [10.1007/s10911-013-9282-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10911-013-9282-8).
- Dowhan DH**, Hong EP, Auboeuf D, Dennis AP, Wilson MM, Berget SM, O'Malley BW. 2005. Steroid hormone receptor coactivation and alternative RNA splicing by U2AF65-related proteins CAPERalpha and CAPERbeta. *Molecular Cell* **17**:429–439. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2004.12.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2004.12.025).
- Dutta J**, Fan G, Gelinas C. 2008. CAPERalpha is a novel Rel-TAD-interacting factor that inhibits lymphocyte transformation by the potent Rel/NF-kappaB oncoprotein v-Rel. *Journal of Virology* **82**:10792–10802. doi: [10.1128/JVI.00903-08](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00903-08).
- Elzi DJ**, Song M, Hakala K, Weintraub ST, Shiio Y. 2012. Wnt antagonist SFRP1 functions as a secreted mediator of senescence. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **32**:4388–4399. doi: [10.1128/MCB.06023-11](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.06023-11).
- Fan C**, Chen Q, Wang QK. 2009. Functional role of transcriptional factor TBX5 in pre-mRNA splicing and Holt-Oram syndrome via association with SC35. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **284**:25653–25663. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M109.041368](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.041368).
- Fatica A**, Bozzoni I. 2014. Long non-coding RNAs: new players in cell differentiation and development. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **15**:7–21. doi: [10.1038/nrg3606](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3606).
- Frank DU**, Carter KL, Thomas KR, Burr RM, Bakker ML, Coetzee WA, Tristani-Firouzi M, Bamshad MJ, Christoffels VM, Moon AM. 2012. Lethal arrhythmias in Tbx3-deficient mice reveal extreme dosage sensitivity of cardiac conduction system function and homeostasis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**:E154–E163. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1115165109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115165109).
- Frank DU**, Emechebe U, Thomas KR, Moon AM. 2013. Mouse tbx3 mutants suggest novel molecular mechanisms for ulnar-mammary syndrome. *PLOS ONE* **8**:e67841. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0067841](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067841).

- Haga K**, Ohno S, Yugawa T, Narisawa-Saito M, Fujita M, Sakamoto M, Galloway DA, Kiyono T. 2007. Efficient immortalization of primary human cells by p16INK4a-specific short hairpin RNA or Bmi-1, combined with introduction of hTERT. *Cancer Science* **98**:147–154. doi: [10.1111/j.1349-7006.2006.00373.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2006.00373.x).
- Han J**, Yuan P, Yang H, Zhang J, Soh BS, Li P, Lim SL, Cao S, Tay J, Orlov YL, Lufkin T, Ng HH, Tam WL, Lim B. 2010. Tbx3 improves the germ-line competency of induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* **463**:1096–1100. doi: [10.1038/nature08735](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08735).
- Hayflick L**. 1965. The limited in vitro lifetime of human diploid cell strains. *Experimental Cell Research* **37**:614–636. doi: [10.1016/0014-4827\(65\)90211-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(65)90211-9).
- Herrick DJ**, Ross J. 1994. The half-life of c-myc mRNA in growing and serum-stimulated cells: influence of the coding and 3' untranslated regions and role of ribosome translocation. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **14**:2119–2128.
- Hong H**, Takahashi K, Ichisaka T, Aoi T, Kanagawa O, Nakagawa M, Okita K, Yamanaka S. 2009. Suppression of induced pluripotent stem cell generation by the p53-p21 pathway. *Nature* **460**:1132–1135. doi: [10.1038/nature08235](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08235).
- Hoogaars WM**, Barnett P, Rodriguez M, Clout DE, Moorman AF, Goding CR, Christoffels VM. 2008. TBX3 and its splice variant TBX3 + exon 2a are functionally similar. *Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research* **21**:379–387. doi: [10.1111/j.1755-148X.2008.00461.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-148X.2008.00461.x).
- Huang da W**, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. 2009a. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids Research* **37**:1–13. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkn923](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn923).
- Huang da W**, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. 2009b. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols* **4**:44–57. doi: [10.1038/nprot.2008.211](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211).
- Huang J**, Zhou N, Watabe K, Lu Z, Wu F, Xu M, Mo YY. 2014. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 promotes breast tumor growth by suppression of p27 (Kip1). *Cell Death & Disease* **5**:e1008. doi: [10.1038/cddis.2013.541](https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2013.541).
- Hubackova S**, Novakova Z, Krejcikova K, Kosar M, Dobrovolna J, Duskova P, Hanzlikova H, Vancurova M, Barath P, Bartek J, Hodny Z. 2010. Regulation of the PML tumor suppressor in drug-induced senescence of human normal and cancer cells by JAK/STAT-mediated signaling. *Cell Cycle* **9**:3085–3099. doi: [10.4161/cc.9.15.12521](https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.9.15.12521).
- Hubackova S**, Krejcikova K, Bartek J, Hodny Z. 2012. IL1- and TGFbeta-Nox4 signaling, oxidative stress and DNA damage response are shared features of replicative, oncogene-induced, and drug-induced paracrine 'bystander senescence'. *Aging* **4**:932–951.
- Imai H**, Chan EK, Kiyosawa K, Fu XD, Tan EM. 1993. Novel nuclear autoantigen with splicing factor motifs identified with antibody from hepatocellular carcinoma. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* **92**:2419–2426. doi: [10.1172/JCI116848](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI116848).
- Ivanova N**, Dobrin R, Lu R, Kotenko I, Levorse J, DeCoste C, Schafer X, Lun Y, Lemischka IR. 2006. Dissecting self-renewal in stem cells with RNA interference. *Nature* **442**:533–538. doi: [10.1038/nature04915](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04915).
- Jacobs JJ**, Keblusek P, Robanus-Maandag E, Kristel P, Lingbeek M, Nederlof PM, van Welsem T, van de Vijver MJ, Koh EY, Daley GQ, van Lohuizen M. 2000. Senescence bypass screen identifies TBX2, which represses Cdkn2a (p19(ARF)) and is amplified in a subset of human breast cancers. *Nature Genetics* **26**:291–299. doi: [10.1038/81583](https://doi.org/10.1038/81583).
- Jerome-Majewska LA**, Jenkins GP, Ernstoff E, Zindy F, Sherr CJ, Papaioannou VE. 2005. Tbx3, the ulnar-mammary syndrome gene, and Tbx2 interact in mammary gland development through a p19Arf/p53-independent pathway. *Developmental Dynamics* **234**:922–933. doi: [10.1002/dvdy.20575](https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20575).
- Jung DJ**, Na SY, Na DS, Lee JW. 2002. Molecular cloning and characterization of CAPER, a novel coactivator of activating protein-1 and estrogen receptors. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**:1229–1234. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M110417200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110417200).
- Kosar M**, Bartkova J, Hubackova S, Hodny Z, Lukas J, Bartek J. 2011. Senescence-associated heterochromatin foci are dispensable for cellular senescence, occur in a cell type- and insult-dependent manner and follow expression of p16(ink4a). *Cell Cycle* **10**:457–468. doi: [10.4161/cc.10.3.14707](https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.10.3.14707).
- Kuilman T**, Michaloglou C, Mooi WJ, Peeper DS. 2010. The essence of senescence. *Genes & Development* **24**:2463–2479. doi: [10.1101/gad.1971610](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1971610).
- Kumar PP**, Franklin S, Emechebe U, Hu H, Moore B, Lehman C, Yandell M, Moon AM. 2014. TBX3 regulates splicing in vivo: a novel molecular mechanism for ulnar-mammary syndrome. *PLoS Genetics* **10**:e1004247. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1004247](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004247).
- Larsson O**. 2005. Cellular senescence- an integrated perspective. *Cancer Therapy* **3**:495–510.
- Lessnick SL**, Dacwag CS, Golub TR. 2002. The Ewing's sarcoma oncoprotein EWS/FLI induces a p53-dependent growth arrest in primary human fibroblasts. *Cancer Cell* **1**:393–401. doi: [10.1016/S1535-6108\(02\)00056-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1535-6108(02)00056-9).
- Lingbeek ME**, Jacobs JJ, van Lohuizen M. 2002. The T-box repressors TBX2 and TBX3 specifically regulate the tumor suppressor gene p14ARF via a variant T-site in the initiator. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**:26120–26127. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M200403200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M200403200).
- Liu J**, Esmailpour T, Shang X, Gulsen G, Liu A, Huang T. 2011. TBX3 over-expression causes mammary gland hyperplasia and increases mammary stem-like cells in an inducible transgenic mouse model. *BMC Developmental Biology* **11**:65. doi: [10.1186/1471-213X-11-65](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-11-65).
- Loayza-Puch F**, Drost J, Rooijers K, Lopes R, Elkon R, Agami R. 2013. p53 induces transcriptional and translational programs to suppress cell proliferation and growth. *Genome Biology* **14**:R32. doi: [10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r32](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r32).
- Louie MC**, McClellan A, Siewit C, Kawabata L. 2010. Estrogen receptor regulates E2F1 expression to mediate tamoxifen resistance. *Molecular Cancer Research* **8**:343–352. doi: [10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-09-0395](https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-09-0395).
- Masutomi K**, Yu EY, Khurts S, Ben-Porath I, Currier JL, Metz GB, Brooks MW, Kaneko S, Murakami S, DeCaprio JA, Weinberg RA, Stewart SA, Hahn WC. 2003. Telomerase maintains telomere structure in normal human cells. *Cell* **114**:241–253. doi: [10.1016/S0092-8674\(03\)00550-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00550-6).

- Melanson BD**, Bose R, Hamill JD, Marcellus KA, Pan EF, McKay BC. 2011. The role of mRNA decay in p53-induced gene expression. *RNA* **17**:2222–2234. doi: [10.1261/rna.030122.111](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.030122.111).
- Mercier I**, Casimiro MC, Zhou J, Wang C, Plymire C, Bryant KG, Daumer KM, Sotgia F, Bonuccelli G, Witkiewicz AK, Lin J, Tran TH, Milliman J, Frank PG, Jasmin JF, Rui H, Pestell RG, Lisanti MP. 2009. Genetic ablation of caveolin-1 drives estrogen-hypersensitivity and the development of DCIS-like mammary lesions. *The American Journal of Pathology* **174**:1172–1190. doi: [10.2353/ajpath.2009.080882](https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2009.080882).
- Narita M**, Núñez S, Heard E, Narita M, Lin AW, Hearn SA, Spector DL, Hannon GJ, Lowe SW. 2003. Rb-mediated heterochromatin formation and silencing of E2F target genes during cellular senescence. *Cell* **113**:703–716. doi: [10.1016/S0092-8674\(03\)00401-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00401-X).
- Peres J**, Davis E, Mowla S, Bennett DC, Li JA, Wansleben S, Prince S. 2010. The highly Homologous t-box transcription factors, TBX2 and TBX3, have distinct roles in the oncogenic process. *Genes Cancer* **1**:272–282. doi: [10.1177/1947601910365160](https://doi.org/10.1177/1947601910365160).
- Peres J**, Prince S. 2013. The T-box transcription factor, TBX3, is sufficient to promote melanoma formation and invasion. *Molecular Cancer* **12**:117. doi: [10.1186/1476-4598-12-117](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-12-117).
- Prince S**, Carreira S, Vance KW, Abrahams A, Goding CR. 2004. Tbx2 directly represses the expression of the p21(WAF1) cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor. *Cancer Research* **64**:1669–1674. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-3286](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-3286).
- Rodriguez M**, Aladowicz E, Lanfrancone L, Goding CR. 2008. Tbx3 represses E-cadherin expression and enhances melanoma invasiveness. *Cancer Research* **68**:7872–7881. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0301](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0301).
- Saramaki A**, Banwell CM, Campbell MJ, Carlberg C. 2006. Regulation of the human p21(waf1/cip1) gene promoter via multiple binding sites for p53 and the vitamin D3 receptor. *Nucleic Acids Research* **34**:543–554. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkj460](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj460).
- Senturk S**, Mumcuoglu M, Gursoy-Yuzugullu O, Cingoz B, Akcali KC, Ozturk M. 2010. Transforming growth factor-beta induces senescence in hepatocellular carcinoma cells and inhibits tumor growth. *Hepatology* **52**:966–974. doi: [10.1002/hep.23769](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.23769).
- Serrano M**, Lin AW, McCurrach ME, Beach D, Lowe SW. 1997. Oncogenic ras provokes premature cell senescence associated with accumulation of p53 and p16INK4a. *Cell* **88**:593–602. doi: [10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)81902-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81902-9).
- Sharova LV**, Sharov AA, Nedorezov T, Piao Y, Shaik N, Ko MS. 2009. Database for mRNA half-life of 19 977 genes obtained by DNA microarray analysis of pluripotent and differentiating mouse embryonic stem cells. *DNA Research* **16**:45–58. doi: [10.1093/dnares/dsn030](https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn030).
- Stewart SA**, Dykxhoorn DM, Palliser D, Mizuno H, Yu EY, An DS, Sabatini DM, Chen IS, Hahn WC, Sharp PA, Weinberg RA, Novina CD. 2003. Lentivirus-delivered stable gene silencing by RNAi in primary cells. *RNA* **9**:493–501. doi: [10.1261/rna.2192803](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.2192803).
- Torres C**, Francis MK, Lorenzini A, Tresini M, Cristofalo VJ. 2003. Metabolic stabilization of MAP kinase phosphatase-2 in senescence of human fibroblasts. *Experimental Cell Research* **290**:195–206. doi: [10.1016/S0014-4827\(03\)00309-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-4827(03)00309-4).
- Wang KC**, Chang HY. 2011. Molecular mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs. *Molecular Cell* **43**:904–914. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2011.08.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2011.08.018).
- Wang C**, Hou X, Mohapatra S, Ma Y, Cress WD, Pledger WJ, Chen J. 2005. Activation of p27Kip1 Expression by E2F1. A negative feedback mechanism. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **280**:12339–12343. doi: [10.1074/jbc.C400536200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C400536200).
- Wang F**, Li X, Xie X, Zhao L, Chen W. 2008. UCA1, a non-protein-coding RNA up-regulated in bladder carcinoma and embryo, influencing cell growth and promoting invasion. *FEBS Letters* **582**:1919–1927. doi: [10.1016/j.febslet.2008.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2008.05.012).
- Wang J**, Shen WH, Jin YJ, Brandt-Rauf PW, Yin Y. 2007. A molecular link between E2F-1 and the MAPK cascade. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **282**:18521–18531. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M610538200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M610538200).
- Wang XS**, Zhang Z, Wang HC, Cai JL, Xu QW, Li MQ, Chen YC, Qian XP, Lu TJ, Yu LZ, Zhang Y, Xin DQ, Na YQ, Chen WF. 2006. Rapid identification of UCA1 as a very sensitive and specific unique marker for human bladder carcinoma. *Clinical Cancer Research* **12**:4851–4858. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0134](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0134).
- Yang C**, Li X, Wang Y, Zhao L, Chen W. 2012. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 regulated cell cycle distribution via CREB through PI3-K dependent pathway in bladder carcinoma cells. *Gene* **496**:8–16. doi: [10.1016/j.gene.2012.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2012.01.012).
- Yarosh W**, Barrientos T, Esmailpour T, Lin L, Carpenter PM, Osann K, Anton-Culver H, Huang T. 2008. TBX3 is overexpressed in breast cancer and represses p14 ARF by interacting with histone deacetylases. *Cancer Research* **68**:693–699. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5012](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5012).
- Yoon JH**, Abdelmohsen K, Kim J, Yang X, Martindale JL, Tominaga-Yamanaka K, White EJ, Orjalo AV, Rinn JL, Kreft SG, Wilson GM, Gorospe M. 2013. Scaffold function of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR in protein ubiquitination. *Nature Communications* **4**:2939. doi: [10.1038/ncomms3939](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3939).
- Yu TY**, Kao YW, Lin JJ. 2014. Telomeric transcripts stimulate telomere recombination to suppress senescence in cells lacking telomerase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111**:3377–3382. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1307415111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1307415111).
- Zhang X**, Liu Z, Yi J, Tang H, Xing J, Yu M, Tong T, Shang Y, Gorospe M, Wang W. 2012. The tRNA methyltransferase NSun2 stabilizes p16INK(4) mRNA by methylating the 3'-untranslated region of p16. *Nature Communications* **3**:712. doi: [10.1038/ncomms1692](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1692).
- Zhu D**, Xu G, Ghandhi S, Hubbard K. 2002. Modulation of the expression of p16INK4a and p14ARF by hnRNP A1 and A2 RNA binding proteins: implications for cellular senescence. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* **193**:19–25. doi: [10.1002/jcp.10147](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.10147).